

# NOTICE OF MEETING

The Regular Meeting of the Incline Village General Improvement District (IVGID) Golf Advisory Committee will be held starting at 3:00 PM on March 28, 2024 Via Zoom Only.

Public comment is allowed and the public is welcome to make a public comment via telephone at (877) 853-5247 (the webinar ID will be posted to our website on the day of the meeting). The meeting will be available for viewing at <a href="https://livestream.com/accounts/3411104">https://livestream.com/accounts/3411104</a>.

#### A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE\*

B. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS - Unless otherwise determined, the time limit shall be three (3) minutes for each person wishing to make a public comment. Unless otherwise permitted by the Chair, no person shall be allowed to speak more than once on any single agenda item. Not to include comments on General Business items with scheduled public comment. The Golf Advisory Committee may address matters brought up during public comment at the conclusion of the comment period but may not deliberate on any non-agendized item.

#### C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (for possible action)

The Golf Advisory Committee may make a motion for a flexible agenda which is defined as taking items on the agenda out of order; combining agenda items with other agenda items; removing items from the agenda; moving agenda items to an agenda of another meeting, or voting on items in a block. -OR- Golf Advisory Committee may make a motion to accept and follow the agenda as submitted/posted.

- D. CONSENT CALENDAR (for possible action)
  - 1. SUBJECT: Approval of the Golf Advisory Meeting Minutes for March 7, 2024. pages 3 19
- E. GENERAL BUSINESS (for possible action)
  - 1. **SUBJECT:** Review the Recommendations from the Previous Meetings and Make any Changes. Create Additional Recommendation for the Mountain Course all you can Play and Address any Pros and Cons to be Presented to the Board. *pages 20 21*
- F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR
- G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS Limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes in duration.
- H. ADJOURNMENT (for possible action)

CERTIFICATION OF POSTING OF THIS AGENDA

I hereby certify that on or before 9:00 A.M. Monday, March 25, 2024, a copy of this agenda (Golf Advisory Committee Session of March 28, 2024) was delivered to the post office addressed to the people who have requested to receive copies of IVGID's agendas; copies were e-mailed to those people who have requested; and a copy was posted, physically or electronically, at the following locations in accordance with Assembly Bill 213:

#### Incline Village General Improvement District

Incline Village General Improvement District is a fiscally responsible community partner which provides superior utility services and community oriented recreation programs and facilities with passion for the quality of life and our environment while investing in the Tahoe basin.

893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada 89451 • (775) 832-1100 • EMAIL: info@ivgid.org

www.yourtahoeplace.com

<sup>1.</sup> IVGID Anne Vorderbruggen Building (893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, Nevada; Administrative Offices)



# NOTICE OF MEETING

Agenda for the Board Meeting of March 28, 2024 - Page 2

- 2. IVGID's website (www.yourtahoeplace.com/ivgid/board-of-trustees/meetings-and-agendas)
- 3. State of Nevada public noticing website (<u>https://notice.nv.gov/</u>)
- 4. IVGID's Recreation Center (980 Incline Way, Incline Village, Nevada)

Persons may request copies of all agenda materials by contacting the District Clerk or by visiting the Administrative Offices at the address listed above

<u>/s/ Heidi H. White</u> Heidi H. White District Clerk (e-mail: hhw@ivgid.org/phone # 775-832-1268)

Golf Advisory Committee: Michaela Tonking, Todd Wilson, Harry Swenson, Robert Riccitellli, Jay Simon

Notes: Items on the agenda may be taken out of order; combined with other items; removed from the agenda; moved to the agenda of another meeting; moved to or from the Consent Calendar section; or may be voted on in a block. Items with a specific time designation will not be heard prior to the stated time, but may be heard later. Those items followed by an asterisk (\*) are items on the agenda upon which the Golf Advisory Committee will take no action. Members of the public who are disabled and require special accommodations or assistance at the meeting are requested to call IVGID at 832-1100 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. **IVGID'S agenda packets are available at IVGID's website, www.yourtahoeplace.com; go to "Board Meetings and Agendas"**.

				Item D.1.
1	INCLINE VILLAGE		1	2 APPEARANCES
2	GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTR	ICT	2	
3	GOLF ADVISORY COMMITTEE	E	3	COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT
4			4	MICHAELA TONKING, CHAIR
5			5	TODD WILSON, MEMBER
6			6	ROBERT RICCITELLI, MEMBER
7	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		7	
8 9	PUBLIC MEETING		8	HARRY SWENSON, MEMBER
10	Via Zoom		10	ALSO PRESENT
11			11	ANNE BRANHAM, LEGAL COUNSEL
12	Held via Zoom		12	HEIDI WHITE, DISTRICT CLERK
13			13	
14	Thursday, March 7, 2024		14	-000-
15			15	
16			16	
17			17	
18 19			18 19	
20			20	
20			20	
22			22	
23			23	
24	Reported by: Brandi Ann Vianney Smith		24	
25	Job Number: IVGID 28		25	
		3		4
1	INDEX		1	Incline Village, Nevada - 3/7/2024 - 3:00 P.M.
2		PAGE	2	-000-
	<ul><li>A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</li><li>B. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li></ul>	4 5	3	
5	C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	4	4 5	CHAIR TONKING: It's three o'clock. We
_	D. CONSENT CALENDAR	7	6	can begin this meeting. We will start with the
_	E. GENERAL BUSINESS		7	Pledge of Allegiance. Actually, we'll do a quick
8	E 1. Golf Data	13	8	roll call.
9	<ul><li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li><li>G. FINAL PUBIC COMMENTS</li></ul>	59 59	9	Jay Simon?
10	G. FINAL PUBIC COMMENTS H. ADJOURNMENT	61	10	MEMBER SIMON: I'm here.
11		01	11	CHAIR TONKING: Harry Swenson?
12	-000-		12	MEMBER SWENSON: Here.
13 14			13 14	CHAIR TONKING: Todd Wilson?
14			14	MEMBER WILSON: Here. CHAIR TONKING: Robert Riccitelli, I don't
16				see him on here yet.
17			17	Heidi, can you mark when he shows up?
18			18	And then Trustee Tonking, I'm also here.
19			19	A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
20			20	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
21			21	CHAIR TONKING: That brings us to item
22				number C, approval of the agenda.
23				C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
24 25			24	CHAIR TONKING: Does anybody have any changes to the agenda?
20			20	טומוועכס נט נווכ מעכוועמ י

5 1 MEMBER SIMON: I didn't see anything on 1 Mountain Golf Course, there is no five-year there about the update on the hiring of the head comparison to have board packet. I have one if you 2 2 3 golf professional. 3 want it. 4 CHAIR TONKING: Yes. And thank you for 4 Back to the 14 pages of the data and some 5 bringing that up. HR Director Feore is about to 5 choice things you should probably know. I assume introduce him to all of us right after we approve 6 the data is on a fiscal years basis. For easy 6 7 7 reading, most people would have the headers on each this agenda. 8 Does anyone have any other changes to the 8 and every page. 9 agenda? 9 Now for the drama. Merchandise sales at 10 Okay. The agenda is approved as is. 10 the Champ was \$293,000, with expenses of \$117,000, 11 MATT: Chair Tonking, item B, public 11 for a positive of \$77,000 before allocations of 12 comment? 12 overhead. The Mountain Course had sales of \$95,000 13 CHAIR TONKING: Yes. Sorry. Public 13 with expenses of \$13,000. All is good on the 14 western front. 14 comment. 15 15 B. INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENT Food and beverage is the killer. At the MR. DOBLER: This is Cliff Dobler, Incline Champ, sales were \$483,000 with expenses of 16 16 17 Village. \$771,000, for a loss of \$288,000. What's 17 18 In 2009, my son and I went to Egypt and 18 remarkable, the sales and salaries and benefits 19 explored the many monuments and studied the 19 alone were \$589,000, which exceeded all of the sales by over \$100,000. Hail to the food and beverage 20 hieroglyphics. I'm going to tell you they were 20 21 easier to understand than the (inaudible) found on 21 business. 22 the 14 pages of financial data of the golf courses. 22 On page 14 is the Mountain Course. On it, 23 I'm not -- that the recommendations for 23 investment earnings show a loss of 28,000. How? 24 action to be given to the board would be a five-year 24 The course has no interest earning investment so how 25 financial comparison of the Championship and 25 could they have a loss? Lease income shows 26,000, 7 1 which is the cell tower on the premise. It has 1 phenomenal ideas and he is working very closely with 2 nothing to do with golf courses and should be in the 2 staff to kind of get their wish list together to general fund. propose some improvements and changes. Really, he's 3 3 4 I feel real bad for the participants on getting his feet underneath him at this point. I'm 4 5 this committee which has to put up with this slop. 5 super excited as to the value he's going to bring to 6 You probably have better things to do. Good luck. 6 an already incredible team. 7 These are documents are worthless. 7 And I also want to take just a brief 8 Thank you. 8 moment to again thank Jeff Clouthier, Rob Bruce, 9 CHAIR TONKING: That closes out item D. 9 Greg Merritt. You guys have held down the fort when 10 Item C the consent calendar. 10 there was barely a fort to hold down. We can't 11 C. CONSENT CALENDAR 11 thank you -- and this is very, very sincere from me, 12 12 from Mike Bandelin, from Bobby Magee, we can't thank CHAIR TONKING: Does anyone have any 13 changes to the agenda? The agenda is approved as you enough for everything you did. I know you 13 14 reached out so many times asking for assistance, and 14 is. 15 Erin, Director of HR, can you give us a 15 I was as clueless as I could be in finding 16 guick introduction to Mr. Sands? documentation. You took the initiative to get out 16 17 MS. FEORE: Yes. I'm happy to introduce 17 there and get what you needed to work with the golf 18 to this team, we have found our candidate for the 18 committee chair and the rest of the team. 19 General Manager of Golf Operations. 19 I will stop schmoozing all over Rob and 20 As had all discussed many times over, we 20 Jeff and Greg. Just, again, thank you on behalf of 21 the District. You did us a solid. Thank you so 21 interviewed a handful of candidates and found Tim to 22 have the background and experience we thought was 22 much. 23 best suited for this position. 23 Then, Tim, I'd love have you introduce 24 Tim's been with us for a whopping 24 yourself to the team. Maybe talk about your 25 four days, and he has already come up with some 25 background. I know everybody's probably -- if you

6

1	haven't had chance to talk the Tim, I know that you	9	1 over Ordinance 7, so I'm familiarizing myself with	10
2	will.		2 that because I know that is kind of a hot topic of	
3	MR. SANDS: As you said, day three,		3 discussion.	
4	rolling fast, and taking as many notes. It's been		4 I'm just trying to soak in as much as I	
5	good so far.		5 can. Feel free I'm getting my phone and I got my	
6	Little bit about myself, almost 20 years		6 email going so I'll make sure everybody gets that	
7	in the golf industry. I've managed, full time, two		7 contact information. Send me any questions or give	
8	different clubs over the past ten years. I've had a		8 me a call.	
9	little bit more of a financial structure to my life		9 Over the next few weeks, Rob and I have	
10	in the business rather than just teaching lessons		10 been talking about when we're going to set up	
	and playing golf, so the opportunity that arose up		11 meetings so we can kind of have formal introductions	
12	here just really was something that I couldn't pass		12 before the season really gets going.	
13	on trying to go for, and very fortunate and happy to		13 CHAIR TONKING: Thank you so much. It's a	
14	be here. It's a beautiful place, beautiful		14 pleasure to meet you virtually, and I'm excited to	
15	property.		15 meet you in person.	
16	I've played the golf courses, I've skied		16 I also want to thank Rob and Greg and Jeff	
17	the resort, so I know why all the trustee members,		17 for all the work that they have done. And we're	
18	committee members really value and love this place.		18 excited for the new addition to the team. Thank you	
19	I'm excited to see a little less snow so I		19 for joining your first Golf Advisory Committee.	
20	can go see the golf course, but Diamond Peak is		20 hope you'll have some time to meet each member of	
21	still rolling hot, so we gotta make sure those folks		21 the committee at some point as well.	
22	are happy.		22 MS. FEORE: Real quick, I wanted to let	
23	I've just been meeting the team over the		23 everybody know that he will be occupying the former	
24	past few days, getting everything set up, going		24 director of golf's office. I just want to make sure	
25	through as many documents as possible. I got sent		25 you know where to find him, he can't hide, there's	
		11		12
1	no anonymity here. He's out there, he's available	11	1 experience in the financials.	12
2	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and	11	2 So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get	12
2 3	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff.	11	2 So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get 3 more in depth with the financials because that's	12
2 3 4	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you,	11	<ol> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> </ol>	12
2 3 4 5	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity.	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all?	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed?	11	2 So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get 3 more in depth with the financials because that's 4 what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up 5 with almost grasping at straws for the information 6 that we really need. If you could, from your 7 experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a 8 special meeting next week or something, where you 9 could talk about your views after being able to 10 review the information.	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand,	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today.	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting.	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting. MEMBER SWENSON: Yeah. I'm a little	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting.	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting. MEMBER SWENSON: Yeah. I'm a little worried because we're being asked to review this	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> <li>will have to put you on the agenda for next time.</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting. MEMBER SWENSON: Yeah. I'm a little worried because we're being asked to review this financial information that Cliff just dumped all	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> <li>will have to put you on the agenda for next time.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: We have a meeting next</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting. MEMBER SWENSON: Yeah. I'm a little worried because we're being asked to review this financial information that Cliff just dumped all over. I had my own troubles in reading it and	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> <li>will have to put you on the agenda for next time.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: We have a meeting next</li> <li>week, Harry, as a reminder, next Thursday.</li> </ul>	12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for you, so you know where the find him and Rob and Greg and Jeff. I'll jump off of your meeting. Thank you, guys, for letting me introduce this and being a part of this opportunity. MEMBER SWENSON: Can we talk to Tim at all? CHAIR TONKING: Great question. Let me ask Anne. Is that allowed? MS. BRANHAM: I would say since this is not an item specifically on, would it be okay if you reach out directly after the meeting? I understand, but this was not an item specifically on for discussion today. If you could reach out to him independently afterwards, and then maybe bring back the topic of that discussion at the next meeting. MEMBER SWENSON: Yeah. I'm a little worried because we're being asked to review this financial information that Cliff just dumped all over. I had my own troubles in reading it and understanding it.	11	<ul> <li>So before you read Ordinance 7, I'd get</li> <li>more in depth with the financials because that's</li> <li>what we're being hit upon or being asked to come up</li> <li>with almost grasping at straws for the information</li> <li>that we really need. If you could, from your</li> <li>experience, lay any insights or maybe we'll have a</li> <li>special meeting next week or something, where you</li> <li>could talk about your views after being able to</li> <li>review the information.</li> <li>And I'm sorry I'm going on, but I find it</li> <li>fantastic that you're here, and it's great. And</li> <li>it's great that you're ready to roll because we're</li> <li>basically being asked to make some decisions with</li> <li>lack of, I think, some discreet knowledge. We'd</li> <li>love to hear from you after you have been able to</li> <li>review this information or give some insight or even</li> <li>understand it based upon your experiences.</li> <li>Also I would be interested well, we</li> <li>will have to put you on the agenda for next time.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: We have a meeting next</li> <li>week, Harry, as a reminder, next Thursday.</li> <li>MS. BRANHAM: Just to clarify, if there is</li> </ul>	12

13 should feel welcome to do that. CHAIR TONKING: Subject: Review and 1 1 2 To the extent that you have anything that discuss golf data regarding utilization of the 2 3 you would like to add while we're talking about the 3 District courses, the play mix, local course 4 reports that are agendized, that is totally fine. I 4 pricing, and a five-year financial comparison of the 5 just didn't want the conversation to diverge from 5 Championship and Mountain Course. Provide input and 6 that. 6 recommendations to be provided to the Board of 7 7 Trustees regarding the golf data and utilization of CHAIR TONKING: Harry, all those questions you have around the financials and any questions you 8 the District courses. 8 9 want to talk about that are related to financials, This is exactly what we talked about last 9 10 utilization, any of that kind of thing, a hundred week. It's just continuing that conversation. We 10 percent you can ask him those when we open up that 11 added a few more financial documents, and I 11 12 agenda item. That's absolutely fine. 12 understand that there's some confusion in them. I 13 It's more like we have to agendize it. 13 have Mr. Cripps here to speak through that and to 14 Also if you're going on start asking off-base 14 walk through some ways that we can discuss. I have 15 questions that aren't exactly around the operations 15 my own qualms as well. or the financials that we'd have to notice it. 16 We'll go through that, and then whatever 16 17 That's all. else we need to really make a recommendation, 17 18 Yes, anything around financials and that 18 hopefully, at the next meeting. Otherwise, we'll 19 kind of stuff, feel free to ask him about his have to schedule a special one. But hopefully at 19 experience and stuff in that area. the next meeting we can get there. If not, we can 20 20 21 D. CONSENT CALENDAR 21 keep adding. That is not a big deal. 22 22 CHAIR TONKING: We have nothing on the I will open the floor to Mr. Cripps. He 23 consent calendar. That moves us to item E. provided some documentation. It's very similar to 23 24 E. GENERAL BUSINESS 24 the presentation that he gave last week with some 25 E 1. Golf Data 25 additional information in Excel format that you all 15 And what those numbers really are are the 1 requested. 1 2 Is there anybody who would like to open 2 net effect of the budgeted revenues, the budgeted 3 the floor and begin any questions or how would we expenditures. And then in your third column there, 3 4 like to proceed? 4 those are the actuals in the year -- what this is is 5 MEMBER SWENSON: I'll open the floor with 5 a year-to-date report as of March 1st when this was ran so we could get it on the agenda. And so those 6 the first question. 6 7 You highlighted a line in blue that -- and 7 are your net effects. 8 I'm looking -- is just very confusing to me, and 8 Above that, what you'll see is you'll see 9 maybe that's why it's highlighted. It says "golf 9 the E total, and the "E" indicates an expenditure, 10 Champion food and beverage." 10 so that's your expenditure budget for that org code, 11 What does that line mean? Because the 11 so for Champion food and beverage. 12 budget, even the revised budget, don't seem to be 12 If you go above that, you'll see an R 13 anywhere close to actuals so either it wasn't total, and with revenues, they're booked as a 13 14 accounted for as a budget item previously or maybe negative so that's why you see them as a negative 14 15 just food costs were accounted for as budget items. 15 component, however, your net effect being the 16 I'm just wondering if you could lay some negative number is -- you would think of it as 16 17 insight on that one. 17 positive revenues over expenditures. 18 MR. CRIPPS: Absolutely. Specifically, 18 MEMBER SIMON: I think we should also 19 the blue one that's highlighted there, there's 19 point out that the budget is for a -- this is the 12 20 actually going to be two of them, and that's to 20 month numbers on this sheet. This is not prorated. 21 indicate where we have the organization code that 21 This is full year. 22 MR. CRIPPS: Correct. The budgeted 22 specifically distinguishes the food and beverage 23 components of either the Champion Golf or Mountain 23 numbers of the full year, your third column there, 24 Golf. And so that's why I highlighted them in blue 24 that's as of the March 1st, the actuals as of March

25 1st.

25 so we could easily identify them in the sheet here.

14

	17	,		40
	17 1 MEMBER RICCITELLI: I basically had the	1	proposal from somebody that could manage the golf	18
	2 same questions that they've been asking. Those	2	course I'm not saying that we should outsource	
	3 financials went from very high level to so granular,	3	it, but at least somebody could come in and say:	
	4 there's like 20 different departments numbers in	4	Here's how much we would charge you annually to	
	5 there. I don't know what all those entity codes	5	outsource the management of the golf course.	
	6 would represent, so I don't even know how to	6	And that would give us a benchmark as to	
	7 interpret what's in there.	7	what it might cost from a third party, and that	
	8 MEMBER SIMON: Do you think we should	8	might also satisfy those residents that feel that	
	9 really even get hung up on that?	9	there's some kind of waste or something going on	
1	0 MEMBER RICCITELLI: Probably not. It	10		
1	1 probably doesn't matter.	11	determine from the data that we have.	
1	2 MEMBER SIMON: I have all the same	12	CHAIR TONKING: Do you have organizations	
1	3 questions. And I'm kind of I kind of feel like	13	in mind that you would like?	
1	4 Cliff Dobler.	14	MEMBER RICCITELLI: No, I don't. I know	
1	5 But I can see there's a few things on here	15	we did once before, but I don't have any specifics.	
1	6 that are relevant. We know that every revenue	16	MEMBER SIMON: What would we do with that	
	7 category is way under budget, and I know there's	17	data?	
	8 three months left. We can see that we're going to	18	MEMBER RICCITELLI: Well, I mean, if	
	9 be short in every possible category. There's no way	19		
	20 that we're going to be able to make up to hit	20	the spreadsheet in front of me, but if it's 2	
	21 budget.	21		
	But I don't even know what that means	22	third-party management company can run it for	
2	23 because I don't even know how the budget was set.		one million or three million, then it gives us a	
	MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. Here's a		benchmark as to how much it costs to run a golf	
2	25 suggestion that I thought of. If we were to get a		course without getting down into all this minutia.	
	10			20
	19 1 Because then you'd have to go back and figure out	1	financial transparency District financial	20
			financial transparency District financial statements?	20
	1 Because then you'd have to go back and figure out	1		20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> </ol>	1 2	statements?	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> </ol>	1 2 3	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah.	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> </ol>	1 2 3	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that,	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that	20
	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple	20
1	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these	20
1	<ol> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these	20
1	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia.	20
1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay.	20
1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke	20
1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in these financial statements,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct?	20
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them,	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> <li>that that would be higher for other departments if</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes.	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> <li>that that would be higher for other departments if</li> <li>the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> <li>that that would be higher for other departments if</li> <li>the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those</li> <li>common accounting or HR or other allocations that</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> <li>that that would be higher for other departments if</li> <li>the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those</li> <li>common accounting or HR or other allocations that</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are going to be going through each of the line items we are seeing here and redoing them; is that correct?	20
	<ul> <li>Because then you'd have to go back and figure out</li> <li>what all those allocations are that are allocated</li> <li>from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and</li> <li>then put those back into other parts of IVGID.</li> <li>I don't personally think that the expense</li> <li>of running the golf course is some astronomical</li> <li>number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out</li> <li>about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think</li> <li>this accounting data helps us determine that, and</li> <li>maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark</li> <li>from a third party as to what it costs to run two</li> <li>golf courses in total.</li> <li>Because you got the other issues of the</li> <li>guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they</li> <li>work on the golf course in the summer, all these</li> <li>allocations that are on these financial statements,</li> <li>that that would be higher for other departments if</li> <li>the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those</li> <li>common accounting or HR or other allocations that</li> <li>seem to be in there.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are going to be going through each of the line items we are seeing here and redoing them; is that correct?	20
	1       Because then you'd have to go back and figure out         2       what all those allocations are that are allocated         3       from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and         4       then put those back into other parts of IVGID.         5       I don't personally think that the expense         6       of running the golf course is some astronomical         7       number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out         8       about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think         9       this accounting data helps us determine that, and         0       maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark         1       from a third party as to what it costs to run two         2       golf courses in total.         3       Because you got the other issues of the         4       guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they         5       work on the golf course in the summer, all these         6       allocations that are on these financial statements,         7       that that would be higher for other departments if         8       the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those         9       common accounting or HR or other allocations that         20       seem to be in there.         21       I'm not suggesting we should do it. I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are going to be going through each of the line items we are seeing here and redoing them; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: With the departments, yes. Financial one with the departments. Of course, we	20
	1       Because then you'd have to go back and figure out         2       what all those allocations are that are allocated         3       from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and         4       then put those back into other parts of IVGID.         5       I don't personally think that the expense         6       of running the golf course is some astronomical         7       number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out         8       about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think         9       this accounting data helps us determine that, and         0       maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark         1       from a third party as to what it costs to run two         2       golf courses in total.         3       Because you got the other issues of the         4       guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they         5       work on the golf course in the summer, all these         6       allocations that are on these financial statements,         7       that that would be higher for other departments if         8       the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those         9       common accounting or HR or other allocations that         10       seem to be in there.         1       I'm not suggesting we should do it. I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are going to be going through each of the line items we are seeing here and redoing them; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: With the departments, yes. Financial one with the departments. Of course, we	20
	1       Because then you'd have to go back and figure out         2       what all those allocations are that are allocated         3       from other parts of IVGID to the golf course and         4       then put those back into other parts of IVGID.         5       I don't personally think that the expense         6       of running the golf course is some astronomical         7       number that the taxpayers should be all freaked out         8       about. That's just my opinion. But I don't think         9       this accounting data helps us determine that, and         0       maybe an outsourced bid might give us a benchmark         1       from a third party as to what it costs to run two         2       golf courses in total.         3       Because you got the other issues of the         4       guys working at Diamond Peak in the winter, they         5       work on the golf course in the summer, all these         6       allocations that are on these financial statements,         7       that that would be higher for other departments if         8       the golf revenue wasn't also subsidizing those         9       common accounting or HR or other allocations that         10       seem to be in there.         1       I'm not suggesting we should do it. I'm	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	statements? MEMBER RICCITELLI: Yeah. MEMBER SIMON: So, I'm looking at that, and I would recommend making some changes to that that would get us part way to having or substantial way to having a financial statement that we could look at at least. I mean, this I wouldn't want to spend anymore time than the couple hours I've already spent poring through these this 13 or 14 pages. I don't think we want to get into that kind of minutia. CHAIR TONKING: I agree with you, Jay. Adam, at our board meeting, you spoke about how you were zero-based budgeting all of the departments; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: Every single one of them, yes. CHAIR TONKING: Okay. And so you are going to be going through each of the line items we are seeing here and redoing them; is that correct? MR. CRIPPS: With the departments, yes. Financial one with the departments. Of course, we do need the departments hands-on knowledge for a lot	20

21 to make what we're looking at and help guide them 1 through anything that they need. 2 So it's with the departments that we're 3 4 doing zero-based budgeting. 5 CHAIR TONKING: I guess what I'm saying, and I think this is a common concern acrossed 6 7 everybody is, A, this is a lot of detail that it's really hard to make heads or tails of what to do 8 with it, and to see where some of your 9 10 inefficiencies or backlog are. 11 My experience when you do zero-based 12 budgeting, that is what you will be addressing. Am 13 I correct in that assumption? 14 MR. CRIPPS: Components of it do address 15 that just by nature, when you're reviewing 16 historical information versus the upcoming 17 information or your predictions for the 18 upcoming year, what are your needs analysis, then by 19 nature you're going to do that exact thing. That's 20 a part of what we're doing with the departments 21 right now as a component of it. 22 But, I mean, to your point, though, there 23 are a lot of lines, and so each one are being 24 analyzed, each one has been gone through, each one 25 has been assigned a budget number, but also based

off of some kind of logic behind it. 1 2 So there is almost like a reset coming up, 3 however, it doesn't help what we're looking at now. 4 I am trying to still help with what we're looking at 5 now and try to guide us through it as well. 6 Forward looking, yes, definitely I would 7 expect some cleanup. It's not going to say that 8 we're going to reduce -- greatly reduce the number 9 of objects at this time, meaning that they're still going to be a lot of information to kind of go 10 11 through. 12 But if I can help guide what components 13 are we really looking at, that's kind of what I'm 14 hoping to do. 15 MEMBER RICCITELLI: Why are there 20-plus 16 departments numbers or entity numbers laid out through that whole thing? It is broken down that 17 18 granularly? 19 MR. CRIPPS: Yeah. So, unfortunately, to the why itself -- and when the chart of accounts was 20 21 built, whoever designed it did design it that 22 granularly, yes. 23 MEMBER RICCITELLI: Thanks for pulling 24 that together. I'm sure it wasn't that easy. 25 MR. CRIPPS: Absolutely. Not a problem.

23 Again, I know -- and the points I've 1 out every month, there's a short P and L. Can you 1 2 heard. I understand them. It's a lot to look at and 2 make changes to that if -- for example, can you what does it mean and it's also what I'm trying to break out the categories of services on that 3 3 help facilitate some kind of guidance and get us to financial statement so people could see every month 4 4 5 really, okay, what meaning can we get out of this is 5 where we are for passes, admin? It's in the general 6 what I'm trying to help with. 6 ledger, so it should be able to transfer to here. 7 7 MEMBER RICCITELLI: Again, I'm still MR. CRIPPS: I mean, there's kind of two answers to that. 8 trying to figure out problem we're trying to solve 8 9 9 here anyway. People think the golf course loses The one that's posted online, the format 10 money? I don't know. If you look at this, maybe it 10 of it is very structured, but the data behind it, I 11 does, maybe it doesn't, depending on allocations, 11 believe has the information that you would be 12 the way the depreciation works. I'm not sure that looking for. I would definitely have to take a 12 13 that's even a problem to be solved. look. As far as the one that's posted online, 13 14 formatting-wise, it'll stay the way that it posts 14 So, again, I still am not clear what the 15 issue is. The golf course is in great shape. The 15 online. 16 guys do a great job keeping it up. I think you can 16 However, we'd still need to pull the data 17 get a tee time if you plan far enough ahead. The 17 behind it, and I think the data sheets could, maybe 18 rates seem to be competitive, the course gets used, more closely align with what you're asking for. 18 19 maybe could get used a little more. 19 MEMBER SIMON: Do you know how to reformat 20 Again, I'm just still unclear on the 20 this financial statement? Can you change it, add 21 more accounts? 21 problem. 22 22 MEMBER SIMON: I think we're trying to MR. CRIPPS: To the ones that are posted 23 create a problem by us trying to dig into this level 23 online? 24 of minutia. 24 MEMBER SIMON: Yeah. 25 Adam, in the financial statements that go 25 MR. CRIPPS: Not that I'm aware of.

22

	25 26
1 But where, yeah, to maybe help us get over	1 posted.
2 the finish line, I think we're looking because we	2 CHAIR TONKING: Is there a way to recreate
3 do pull the GL data behind it to support the	3 some of that data that is online in maybe a more
4 numbers. I think the data sheets behind what gets	4 user-friendly manner in which the team can kind of
5 posted will have what you're asking for.	5 look at it? Maybe not our first ones and maybe not
6 MEMBER SIMON: If I took this financial	6 the ones were at, but maybe somewhere a little bit
7 statement online, and I kind of reformatted it to	7 in between?
8 what I think would be a more meaningful financial	8 MR. CRIPPS: That was kind of what I was
9 statement for us to look at, or for anybody to look	9 trying to maybe get to. The data that we pull
10 at, I'm not talking about making a voluminous	10 behind, we do pull GL data to get into those
11 statement, I would just reformat this, and I could	11 formats.
12 give you a pro forma of what I would look it to look	12 And I'm trying to think if it I'm happy
13 like.	13 to share it, so I have no issue with that. What
14 If I did that, would you be able to see if	14 happens is is it really kind of goes back into a
15 you could do that?	15 lot of it is a lot of rolling up a lot of what kind
16 MR. CRIPPS: Well, because what will have	16 of goes into a pivot table to get it to that kind of
17 to happen is that will be a District-wide type of	17 summarized level.
18 change, so we would really need some kind of	18 It does go from a detailed report like
19 additional direction on that.	19 this to a roll up of that type of level, so it's at
20 What'll happen is changing it to one	20 what point is it that what's the sweet spot on
21 component of it would really need to go	21 that roll up?
22 District-wide. Because we have several funds that	22 CHAIR TONKING: Adam, would you and Jay be
23 are included on that statement, and so each fund is	23 willing to sit down on a call, and I can join too,
24 a component of the District, so we would need to	24 and just look at that data and kind of find that
25 then begin to reassess how each one of those gets	25 middle ground? I think we've found both ends, and I
	27 29
1 appreciate all the work that you've done on this,	27 28 1 don't think would be that complicated, but I can't
<ol> <li>appreciate all the work that you've done on this,</li> <li>it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> </ol>	
	1 don't think would be that complicated, but I can't
2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> </ol>
<ul><li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li><li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li></ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>2 speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>3 one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>4 don't know how the system works.</li> <li>5 But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>6 this more meaningful.</li> <li>7 CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>8 do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>9 this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>10 the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>11 golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>12 exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>13 going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>14 page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>15 careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>16 The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>17 wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>18 to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>19 is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> <li>20 of the same accounts of this 13 pages that we</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> <li>20 of the same accounts of this 13 pages that we</li> <li>21 got. Is that true?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> <li>something for you all to think about a little bit</li> <li>more, about whether it should be its own fund and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> <li>20 of the same accounts of this 13 pages that we</li> <li>21 MR. CRIPPS: A version of it, yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> <li>something for you all to think about a little bit</li> <li>more, about whether it should be its own fund and</li> <li>acrossed all of it, or if it's beneficial in the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> <li>20 of the same accounts of this 13 pages that we</li> <li>21 got. Is that true?</li> <li>22 MR. CRIPPS: A version of it, yes.</li> <li>23 MEMBER SIMON: Yeah. Okay.</li> <li>24 So I could set up the way I think it would</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>golf fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> <li>something for you all to think about a little bit</li> <li>more, about whether it should be its own fund and</li> <li>acrossed all of it, or if it's beneficial in the</li> <li>golf. That's just another aspect that we'll talk a</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 it's been a lot of ask and something that you're</li> <li>3 doing a ton of stuff already, but maybe 30 minutes</li> <li>4 to an hour to just look at that and see if we can</li> <li>5 pull that middle level, is that possible?</li> <li>6 MR. CRIPPS: It's possible, yeah. I think</li> <li>7 coordinating it through General Manager Magee would</li> <li>8 be appropriate.</li> <li>9 CHAIR TONKING: Yep. That's fine.</li> <li>10 MR. CRIPPS: Schedule it through him. I</li> <li>11 don't have I really yeah, I don't see an issue</li> <li>12 with that at all. Really kind of here's how we pull</li> <li>13 it, here's how it gets to that level, and then try</li> <li>14 to find what we're looking for.</li> <li>15 CHAIR TONKING: Would that be helpful or</li> <li>16 am I asking you to do something that you do not find</li> <li>17 beneficial?</li> <li>18 MEMBER SIMON: No. I think that this</li> <li>19 financial that is online is being pulled from chart</li> <li>20 of the same accounts of this 13 pages that we</li> <li>21 got. Is that true?</li> <li>22 MR. CRIPPS: A version of it, yes.</li> <li>23 MEMBER SIMON: Yeah. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>don't think would be that complicated, but I can't</li> <li>speak to how that effects if you can only change</li> <li>one division and not all the other divisions. I</li> <li>don't know how the system works.</li> <li>But I'm happy to sit down and try and make</li> <li>this more meaningful.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah. And the one thing I</li> <li>do want to be very careful of when we are doing</li> <li>this, we need to make sure we're including all of</li> <li>the things. The last thing we want is to say the</li> <li>going fund is doing great, and X, Y, and Z, if you</li> <li>exclude X, Y, or Z, so don't want those commentaries</li> <li>going around. But I think we're all on the same</li> <li>page on that. That's just my one thing I want to be</li> <li>careful of as we go forward.</li> <li>The other thing in this conversation I</li> <li>wanted to think a little bit about, and I've talked</li> <li>to General Manager Bobby Magee about this, and that</li> <li>is thinking about food and beverage, that's</li> <li>something for you all to think about a little bit</li> <li>more, about whether it should be its own fund and</li> <li>acrossed all of it, or if it's beneficial in the</li> <li>golf. That's just another aspect that we'll talk a</li> <li>little bit more about, but you can see that in these</li> </ul>

1 Do I have more questions on the	29 30 1 make it a little bit more difficult to split it up
2 financials?	2 like that.
3 MEMBER WILSON: I have a more basic	3 MEMBER WILSON: Yeah, that makes complete
4 question. I believe I know the answer, just to	4 sense.
5 confirm.	5 We did a comparison that was fiscal 2023
6 At last the meeting, we talked about the	6 through December 31st, side by side with fiscal '24
7 possibility of a period look at it that would be	7 through December 31st, and the difference of that is
8 more aligned to the golf season. But I'm assuming	8 going to be your 12-month rolling. I could probably
9 this is still fiscal. And so when we say year to	9 just send a note offline of what I'm thinking. I
10 date 3/1, it is the fiscal year up to that point?	10 worked with the RP system, so I know some of the
11 MR. CRIPPS: This is fiscal year. And I	11 limitations you're having to face.
12 would also like to maybe clarify a little bit.	12 MR. CRIPPS: If I'm understanding
13 did say that I did believe that we could pull by	13 correctly, run fiscal '23 through December, which
14 period, however, I can't set the beginning period	14 is, yes, I have a beginning period, and then I could
15 within a fiscal year. I can set what end period I'm	15 end it at December. And then I run fiscal year '24,
16 looking for.	16 and that would take us from June to December. Those
17 Being that golf is seasonal and we'd be	17 are metrics I can do, and I'm completely confident
18 looking at it from the different perspectives, I	18 in that.
19 would need a different start period which I don't	19 MEMBER WILSON: That requires a little bit
20 have the ability to do. I can do, like with this	20 of math to then that out to the calendar year 2023,
21 report here which is a year-to-date report, but the	21 but I think that would get us there. So that
22 year to date is from the beginning of fiscal year to	22 confirms what we're looking at. Thank you for that.
23 what period I enter in.	23 Then I guess the question still remains,
24 MEMBER WILSON: Okay.	24 because I go back to the comments around what are we
25 MR. CRIPPS: But it does help it does	25 trying to solve for. In my mind, the biggest things
3	32
1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a	1 MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON:Wait a second.Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you</li> <li>just laid out a way that you came up with that four</li> <li>percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON:Wait a second.Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent.Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you</li> <li>just laid out a way that you came up with that four</li> <li>percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it</li> <li>or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute</li> <li>because I certainly want to know what's the basis of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you</li> <li>just laid out a way that you came up with that four</li> <li>percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it</li> <li>or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute</li> <li>because I certainly want to know what's the basis of</li> <li>the four percent? It is just inflationary? A</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you</li> <li>just laid out a way that you came up with that four</li> <li>percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it</li> <li>or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute</li> <li>because I certainly want to know what's the basis of</li> <li>the four percent? It is just inflationary? A</li> <li>guess?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>here's a recommendation.</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>here's a recommendation.</li> <li>I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>here's a recommendation.</li> <li>I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost
<ol> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>here's a recommendation.</li> <li>I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> </ol>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,19this is what it costs historically if the data all
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>20 think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,19this is what it costs historically if the data all20holds together.
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>20 think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> <li>21 kind of information to make the recommendation, and</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,19this is what it costs historically if the data all20holds together.21But not having that, as Bob had suggested
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>20 think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> <li>21 know we'll probably get to the recommended</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,19this is what it costs historically if the data all20holds together.21But not having that, as Bob had suggested22it should cost, makes it a little bit more
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>20 think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> <li>21 kind of information to make the recommendation, and</li> <li>22 I know we'll probably get to the recommended</li> <li>23 increase here in a minute, but I just want to make</li> </ul>	1       MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you         2       just laid out a way that you came up with that four         3       percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it         4       or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute         5       because I certainly want to know what's the basis of         6       the four percent? It is just inflationary? A         7       guess?         8       Again, I'm not very comfortable with         9       looking at these items, especially with that really         10       big outlier there with the food and beverage. That         11       would be very interesting to talk to.         12       But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.         13       What he's talking about is getting a should cost         14       estimate. All government in my experience, I         15       always needed a cost estimate to do any type of         16       contract or others, and I always needed a should         17       cost, my own independent estimate to ods that. We're         18       treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,         19       this is what it costs historically if the data all         20       holds together.         21       But not having that, as Bob had suggested         22
<ul> <li>1 that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>2 recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>3 coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>4 now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>5 include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>6 between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>7 because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>8 that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>9 over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>10 it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>11 here's a recommendation.</li> <li>12 I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>13 together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>14 I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>15 about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>16 out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>17 leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>18 percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>19 But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>20 think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> <li>21 kind of information to make the recommendation, and</li> <li>22 I know we'll probably get to the recommended</li> <li>23 increase here in a minute, but I just want to make</li> <li>24 sure I understood what we were looking at.</li> </ul>	1MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you2just laid out a way that you came up with that four3percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it4or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute5because I certainly want to know what's the basis of6the four percent? It is just inflationary? A7guess?8Again, I'm not very comfortable with9looking at these items, especially with that really10big outlier there with the food and beverage. That11would be very interesting to talk to.12But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.13What he's talking about is getting a should cost14estimate. All government in my experience, I15always needed a cost estimate to do any type of16contract or others, and I always needed a should17cost, my own independent estimate to do that. We're18treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,19this is what it costs historically if the data all20holds together.21But not having that, as Bob had suggested22it should cost, makes it a little bit more23difficult.24I'd certainly agree with the assessment
<ul> <li>that we're trying to solve for at the moment is a</li> <li>recommendation around pricing for the summer for the</li> <li>coming season, and to look at where we are right</li> <li>now, which shows a \$414,000 loss, still doesn't</li> <li>include the expenses and revenue that will happen</li> <li>between now and the end of the fiscal year. And</li> <li>because it's seasonal, it's hard to really correlate</li> <li>that to say, yeah, let's just straight line this</li> <li>over the remaining months, that doesn't work, and so</li> <li>it's a little hard to look at that and say, well,</li> <li>here's a recommendation.</li> <li>I do appreciate you and Rob both putting</li> <li>together that recommendation of a four percent. And</li> <li>I don't know if it's coincidence or the way you went</li> <li>about it, but if we look at that \$414,000 loss, back</li> <li>out the food and beverage loss of \$268,000, that</li> <li>leaves us a shortfall of \$145,000, which four</li> <li>percent increase will get you there.</li> <li>But that's neither here nor there. I</li> <li>think, yeah, we of course want to have the right</li> <li>kind of information to make the recommendation, and</li> <li>kind of information to make the recommendation, and</li> <li>know we'll probably get to the recommended</li> <li>increase here in a minute, but I just want to make</li> </ul>	1       MEMBER SWENSON: Wait a second. Todd, you         2       just laid out a way that you came up with that four         3       percent. Is that the way that Rob and Adam did it         4       or did you I guess we'll get to that in a minute         5       because I certainly want to know what's the basis of         6       the four percent? It is just inflationary? A         7       guess?         8       Again, I'm not very comfortable with         9       looking at these items, especially with that really         10       big outlier there with the food and beverage. That         11       would be very interesting to talk to.         12       But I do want to reinforce what Bob said.         13       What he's talking about is getting a should cost         14       estimate. All government in my experience, I         15       always needed a cost estimate to do any type of         16       contract or others, and I always needed a should         17       cost, my own independent estimate to ods that. We're         18       treating this simply as a: This is what it costs,         19       this is what it costs historically if the data all         20       holds together.         21       But not having that, as Bob had suggested         22

	24
33 1 Jay, you can see it that way. But I'm not sure we	3 34 1 no rationale for it.
2 have any time to do that or ability to do that, but	2 CHAIR TONKING: I think that makes sense.
3 it's something that I'd like to dig our way out of	3 I guess my question is really for Adam. What kind
4 the justification business based upon 14 pages of	4 of data can we get that is beneficial? And I do
5 data with not really a lot of understanding,	5 think we need to talk about it with food and
<ul><li>6 especially with that huge outlier. And if that</li></ul>	<ul><li>6 beverage removed in both ways. I do know, I met</li></ul>
7 outlier is as true as it is, then there's something	7 with some food and beverage managers a couple of
	8 weeks ago, and I do know that they have done a lot
	<ul><li>9 of stuff to help better address their accounting of</li><li>10 these issues.</li></ul>
10 away food for free, we're throwing away too much,	
<ul> <li>11 we're not pricing it right.</li> <li>12 And I just did some simple calculations,</li> </ul>	
	12 them come speak to us. There was some issues around
13 we should be getting upwards of five to eight times	13 when items were ordered, instead of it being
14 of your costs, your food cost items in actual value	14 allocated across all the different areas, it was all
15 to the food delivery item. That's what a standard	15 put to The Chateau, The Grille, and so that was a
16 restaurant would be looking at, and we should be at	16 huge supply issue so that's a huge expense that The
17 least somewhere close to that unless the food and	17 Grille was taking on, even though other parts of the
18 beverage is intended to be a major loss leader.	18 food and beverage areas were utilizing that stuff.
19 We looked at it without data, but there	19They fixed that. They did an inventory
20 was that means to me there's something really	20 count. They refixed the prices that were in there.
21 wild in the budgeting that isn't accounted for or	21 They've done a lot of work now that I think will
22 even looked at as a business item for food and	22 clean up some of those financials at least to help
23 beverage activities.	23 address some of that loss.
24 I hope I didn't make that too convoluted,	24 But I do think we need to talk about it.
25 but simply stating that is a big outlier, and I have	25 Yes, food and beverage is part of the golf
20	20
35 1 operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board	
1 operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board	1 our meeting next week.
1 operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> <li>wanted to hear a little bit more about that. We can</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> <li>MR. CRIPPS: As far as the budgeting</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> <li>wanted to hear a little bit more about that. We can</li> <li>also talk about that right now.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> <li>MR. CRIPPS: As far as the budgeting</li> <li>numbers that you were looking at, from a golf fund</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> <li>wanted to hear a little bit more about that. We can</li> <li>also talk about that right now.</li> <li>But I'm not sure what else we need that I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> <li>MR. CRIPPS: As far as the budgeting</li> <li>numbers that you were looking at, from a golf fund</li> <li>perspective as a whole, that is correct, what you're</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> <li>wanted to hear a little bit more about that. We can</li> <li>also talk about that right now.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> <li>MR. CRIPPS: As far as the budgeting</li> <li>numbers that you were looking at, from a golf fund</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>operation, but I know, and we did it as the Board</li> <li>last year, we removed it. You've heard a lot of</li> <li>conversation as well to talk about what do we want</li> <li>golf to look like and then what do we need food and</li> <li>beverage to look like.</li> <li>I think Harry brings a very valid point</li> <li>because it is hard talking about it with that large</li> <li>loss in there as well.</li> <li>So my question for Adam and the team is</li> <li>what do we need to ensure that we can start to make</li> <li>some thoughts of recommendations? I have the idea</li> <li>from Bob about reaching out to somebody else and</li> <li>what it would cost to just run something like this.</li> <li>I'll meet with General Manager Magee and Mr. Sands</li> <li>to talk about if that's a feasible option.</li> <li>I know that Jay and I are going to sit</li> <li>down with Adam and talk a little bit about some of</li> <li>the financials and if there's a way to get it looked</li> <li>in a certain area or a certain way.</li> <li>I know that Todd did some</li> <li>back-of-the-envelope four percent, and I know Harry</li> <li>wanted to hear a little bit more about that. We can</li> <li>also talk about that right now.</li> <li>But I'm not sure what else we need that I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>our meeting next week.</li> <li>With that note being said, everything has</li> <li>to be published on Monday. I might not have all the</li> <li>data, so I will publish an agenda, and as data</li> <li>starts getting created and flowed in, we'll be</li> <li>distributing it to you so that we're in compliance</li> <li>with Open Meeting Law, as long a people know it</li> <li>might be a last-minute review.</li> <li>MEMBER WILSON: One more question on the</li> <li>financials here and that's around the original, I</li> <li>guess, revised. So not the original, the revised</li> <li>budget, just so I understand. The bottom line here</li> <li>says that we anticipated and planned for 5.7 million</li> <li>in revenue on a year and 6.9 million in expenses for</li> <li>a \$1.2 million loss. Am I reading that right? And</li> <li>if so, what was the discussion or logic that went</li> <li>into that loss number?</li> <li>Because that's a big number. I'm looking</li> <li>at the loss current year data of 414, and it's</li> <li>nothing close to what we seem to have originally</li> <li>budgeted.</li> <li>MR. CRIPPS: As far as the budgeting</li> <li>numbers that you were looking at, from a golf fund</li> <li>perspective as a whole, that is correct, what you're</li> </ul>

Page 11 of 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

14

19

20

39

don't know if he's seen the financials, does thes 1 2 make any sense to you as somebody that was intimately involved with golf operations previous 3 4 and particularly in the financial area? 5 MR. SANDS: For myself, I have see 6 we're looking at just briefly. The way it is laid 7 out, it makes total sense to me on running golf 8 courses. 9 The thing that I'm going to have to tr 10 get up to speed on is how that relates to other 11 funds in the District and how they interact with 12 each other. That's the thing that kind of has been 13 going through my mind on, I think, to a few of th 14 member's points about should we end up separ 15 food and beverage from these two different bud 16 and G and Ls? 17 So, I'm still not up to speed totally. 18 Over the next week, I will definitely make this a priority and sit down with Adam and General Ma 19 20 Magee so they can also get me up to speed with 21 they know. 22 MEMBER SWENSON: In my exper 23 private clubs, food and beverage usually is the 24 moneymaker for the golf club. And in our case, 25 the money loser. Does that make any sense to 40

39			
se	1	I'm just trying to get a sense from you on	
	2	these financials and your experience relative to	
sly	3	your previous organizations. And also because a lot	
	4	of us on board have been involved with other private	
een what	5	clubs, and we've been confused since day one, mostly	
	6	because of the plethora of data and less so about	
	7	because this is at a detail level and then previous	
	8	stuff was even more of a detail level that doesn't	
ry to	9	help decision-making, it helps accounting. And	
	10	we're spending enough time to turn this into	
	11	decision-making data versus looking at it as an	
en	12	accountant has been very difficult for us. I've	
he	13	tried it, Jay's tried it. And Todd's mentioned, he	
rating	14	came up with some theories where things come from,	
dgets	15	but we're guessing.	
	16	And we're hoping you, with your	
	17	experience, can quickly sort through a lot of this.	
l	18	It's been very I'll go back to what Bob said	
lanager	19	earlier, we're not sure what problem is we're trying	
th what	20	to solve and if it is a problem, and if it is a	
	21	problem, we're not sure how to get to the root cause	
erience with	22	or causes of that problem.	
	23	And so we're looking forward to you	
, it's	24	digging in. You can throw Ordinance 7 out, you can	
o you?	25	read about the beaches later. As long as you got	

41 1 your card to go on, you're good to go.	1 pay the rents, and all that type of thing.
2 This is pretty important, especially	2 I encourage you to jump into that as soon
3 because the Board the reason I think we've been	3 as you can and throw other things away.
4 asked to review this data and come up with costing	4 MEMBER RICCITELLI: Quick statement. So,
5 recommendation is because the Board's doing their	5 from a pricing perspective, if you compare the
6 budget super bowl or whatever you guys call it, that	6 greens fees, the cost of a hamburger, a hot dog, and
7 then sets the budget for the next year.	7 a beer to other competing venues, then you know that
8 And right now, I'm of the view that the	8 the prices are either in line or out of line. I
9 problem is not in collecting money; the problem is	9 know we've done that from a fee perspective for the
10 possibly in disbursing it or costing having I	10 golf. I've eaten at the restaurant. I don't find
11 did a fairly deep dive in the food and beverage when	11 it to be half the price of every place else.
12 Jay and I came up with some recommendations, because	12 But you have to have a price that is
13 I compared it with I'm also a partner in Riva	13 competitive, and it seems like we're pretty close to
14 Grille down in the South Bay, and that data, line	14 getting that figured out. So then it's either an
15 item per line item, doesn't very well match, and	15 accounting problem or a cost problem that you can't
16 they don't have they have the expense of having	16 make money. Because if your prices are competitive,
17 to rent the place, whereas there is no rental item	17 you can't raise the price of a hot dog to \$32
18 here, and our costs per meal are much higher than	18 because the cost of running The Chateau is too high.
19 their costs per meal.	19 So I think if we settle at the prices are
20 Now, part of that is not much higher	20 competitive with other similar venues, both food and
21 than their costs. Part of that is the valuation of	21 golf, then the rest of it is either accounting is in
22 how much they charge. They charge a lot. They	22 the wrong place or we have too many waiters or too
23 charge a lot to cover their food costs. Like I	23 many people cutting the grass or whatever the cost
24 said, five to eight times is the level to be able to	24 is of that.
25 pay the staff, pay the operations, pay the heating,	25 CHAIR TONKING: That's a fair analysis on
12	
43 1 the situation.	1 utilization from the 75 to 80 that we're currently
1 the situation.	2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li><li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li></ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li><li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li><li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li></ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>all tee times are full.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>as recommendations.</li> <li>If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>be wonderful.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>all tee times are full.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>as recommendations.</li> <li>If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>be wonderful.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> <li>16 MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>17 CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> <li>16 MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>17 CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>18 information, a recommendation you had around</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>all tee times are full.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>as recommendations.</li> <li>If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>be wonderful.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> <li>provided.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> <li>16 MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>17 CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>18 information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>19 utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> <li>20 what recommendation you would want to give.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> <li>provided.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> <li>16 MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>17 CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>18 information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>19 utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> <li>20 what recommendation you would want to give.</li> <li>21 MEMBER SWENSON: I'd like to see us at at</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> <li>provided.</li> <li>And since Rob did the detailed, and I</li> <li>really want to give a shout-out to him, at that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>all tee times are full.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>as recommendations.</li> <li>If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>be wonderful.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> <li>what recommendation you would want to give.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I'd like to see us at at</li> <li>least 85 percent through the season.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> <li>provided.</li> <li>And since Rob did the detailed, and I</li> <li>really want to give a shout-out to him, at that</li> <li>level, Tim, I think you could find that also very</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>all tee times are full.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>as recommendations.</li> <li>If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>be wonderful.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> <li>what recommendation you would want to give.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: I'd like to see us at at</li> <li>least 85 percent through the season.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Perfect.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the situation.</li> <li>I know Mike Bandelin and I have both</li> <li>spoken with food and beverage, and they're working</li> <li>on that kind of look. That is something that,</li> <li>especially as a board member, I see as a valid</li> <li>concern, and I think it's a valid concern too of</li> <li>this committee, what's going on over there at food</li> <li>and beverage as well.</li> <li>What else do people need, if anything?</li> <li>Jay, I'm going to send an email to set up a time,</li> <li>hopefully, on Monday.</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: One other thing, and this</li> <li>actually I want to give a shout-out to Rob with</li> <li>his generation of the data in our previous meeting,</li> <li>the details of who is using it, how much is it that</li> <li>each player, each type of player, and that type of</li> <li>thing. I found that very interesting and</li> <li>informative because it was much different than the</li> <li>gross number that the previous director of golf had</li> <li>provided.</li> <li>And since Rob did the detailed, and I</li> <li>really want to give a shout-out to him, at that</li> <li>level, Tim, I think you could find that also very</li> <li>interesting because I think one of the things we can</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 at. And that by itself probably will significantly</li> <li>3 increase the amount of money we make especially if</li> <li>4 the money that is made by the golf organization.</li> <li>5 That's why I still want to see more effort in that</li> <li>6 area, increasing the utilization or ensuring that</li> <li>7 all tee times are full.</li> <li>8 CHAIR TONKING: That's a good point. I</li> <li>9 don't know if you want to think about some wording</li> <li>10 of a recommendation that you have utilization, but I</li> <li>11 think that would be super helpful as something for</li> <li>12 us to use at our next meeting to move forward with</li> <li>13 as recommendations.</li> <li>14 If you can think through that, that would</li> <li>15 be wonderful.</li> <li>16 MEMBER SWENSON: I missed what you said.</li> <li>17 CHAIR TONKING: If you could give us some</li> <li>18 information, a recommendation you had around</li> <li>19 utilization, you said you wanted to see it increase,</li> <li>20 what recommendation you would want to give.</li> <li>21 MEMBER SWENSON: I'd like to see us at at</li> <li>22 least 85 percent through the season.</li> <li>23 CHAIR TONKING: Perfect.</li> <li>24 MEMBER SWENSON: And I think it's doable.</li> </ul>

		45	46
	1 just what your recommendation is. And I'm going to	40	46 1 meeting but the meeting at the end, once we've kind
	2 have Heidi put in our agenda item recommendations		2 of talked to Mr. Magee more about what food and
	3 for consideration. I think that's a good area, that		3 beverage is kind of going to look like as a board, l
	4 could be one.		4 was going to have them provide some of their edits
	5 And if anyone else has recommendations for		5 to it and then have them attend that meeting and we
	6 considerations that come up, please send them to		6 can all discuss and approve the document as a whole.
	7 Heidi and myself to get on the agenda.		7 That is a placeholder, so that will be at
	8 MEMBER SWENSON: Then I also had some		8 that meeting at the end.
	9 other recommendations because I still have the		9 MEMBER SIMON: Are there gift certificates
	10 breakout of what the plan passes are. Jay and I had		10 now being sold in the food and beverage area?
	11 come up with the recommendation of possibly using		11 CHAIR TONKING: I don't know the answer to
	12 to increase utilization of food and beverage, to		12 that question. Let me get it for you.
	13 utilize something where the All You Can Play Pass		13 MEMBER SIMON: There has to be a system.
	14 for \$400 more you get \$800 of food credit in The		14 If you're going to do this, there's gotta be a
	15 Grille, such that you will then, effectively, draw		15 system to be able to have gift cards and work off
	16 in a lot more customers on top of that.		16 the gift card. And if that doesn't exist, we have
	17 There were several other recommendations		17 to go to plan B.
	18 that we made that I thought made some sense. And		18 CHAIR TONKING: We have gift cards that
	19 Mike Bandelin said he had shared it with the staff.		19 you can use in the pro shop and stuff, so my guess
	20 I don't know if we ever put it to bed.		20 is you can utilize with the same software.
	21 CHAIR TONKING: It's been shared with		21 MR. BRUCE: The Vermont system that we use
	22 staff. That's a great follow-up question. That		22 for the golf shop, that's where we sell the gift
	23 food and beverage memo, they also watched the		23 cards through, so our gift cards cannot be used
	24 meeting, I had a phone call with them, and we spoke.		24 through the RTC system that's with food and
	25 And so what I'm hoping is not at this		25 beverage.
'			20 0000430
-			
	1 I don't know if RTC has dift cards through	47	48 1 if that's something we can do
	1 I don't know if RTC has gift cards through 2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf	47	1 if that's something we can do.
	2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> </ol>
	<ul><li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li><li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li></ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> </ol>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> <li>7 Anything else?</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> <li>7 Anything else?</li> <li>8 I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> <li>7 Anything else?</li> <li>8 I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>9 I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> <li>7 Anything else?</li> <li>8 I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>9 I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>10 some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>11 a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>2 the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>3 courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>4 CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>5 about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>6 around there.</li> <li>7 Anything else?</li> <li>8 I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>9 I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>10 some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>11 a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>12 different financials.</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we will have some more data.</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>with what he said?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we will have some more data.</li> </ul>	47	<ol> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>with what he said?</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we will have some more data.</li> <li>And then if anyone has recommendations</li> <li>they would like placed in possible recommendations,</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>with what he said?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I don't personally have</li> <li>anything that he suggested for the '24 rates. That</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we will have some more data.</li> <li>And then if anyone has recommendations,</li> <li>please send those to me. That would be great.</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>with what he said?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I don't personally have</li> <li>anything that he suggested for the '24 rates. That</li> <li>was totally up to me, what my decision was.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we ull have some more data.</li> <li>Then I will also talk to GM Magee about</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>1 if that's something we can do.</li> <li>2 That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>3 else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>4 MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>5 Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>6 CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>7 that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>8 MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>9 through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>10 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>11 just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>12 four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>13 want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>14 jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>15 So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>16 board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>17 MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>18 what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>19 would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>20 with what he said?</li> <li>21 MR. BRUCE: I don't personally have</li> <li>22 anything that he suggested for the '24 rates. That</li> <li>23 was totally up to me, what my decision was.</li> <li>24 MEMBER SIMON: The rates of other golf</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>the food and beverage currently. But the golf</li> <li>courses only use for the Vermont system.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Let me talk to Mike Gove</li> <li>about that and see if there's something he can do</li> <li>around there.</li> <li>Anything else?</li> <li>I think I have a good list. Jay and Adam,</li> <li>I will reach out Bobby. Jay, if you could send me</li> <li>some times you have available on Monday, we'll find</li> <li>a time to set up a call. We'll try to get some</li> <li>different financials.</li> <li>I know that Todd mentioned he was going to</li> <li>run a few analytics on the utilization sheet, so if</li> <li>have any of those, Todd, as soon as you get them,</li> <li>please give those to us. We'll discuss that.</li> <li>I will also have an agenda item where we</li> <li>can ask Mr. Sands some questions. He will be able</li> <li>to do that. I don't want to miss that opportunity.</li> <li>Then I think we will have some more data.</li> <li>And then if anyone has recommendations,</li> <li>please send those to me. That would be great.</li> </ul>	47	<ul> <li>if that's something we can do.</li> <li>That's kind of where we are. Anything</li> <li>else I'm missing for the next meeting next week?</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are we going to talk about,</li> <li>Rob, the four percent or not?</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, we can talk about</li> <li>that four percent. We can move on to that too.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Maybe Rob can walk us</li> <li>through what his thinking was in the pour percent.</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Jay. I pretty easily</li> <li>just looked at the inflation rate for 2023, and it's</li> <li>four percent so I just went four percent. Didn't</li> <li>want too much larger since there was such a large</li> <li>jump from '22 to '23.</li> <li>So I just did four percent across the</li> <li>board just according to inflation rate.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Did Darren leave behind</li> <li>what he said he was going to do, which was what he</li> <li>would have suggested for next year? Is that in line</li> <li>with what he said?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I don't personally have</li> <li>anything that he suggested for the '24 rates. That</li> <li>was totally up to me, what my decision was.</li> </ul>

4	50
1 2024. I know Edgewood is because it's clear on	1 guest rates to increase that number of players.
2 their website. It's not clear to me at all that Old	2 And I think there was one recommendation
3 Greenwood and Gray's are set or published.	3 that Darren had said, which was have the even
4 MR. BRUCE: Look on the website. It	4 though it's restricted, the All You Can Play
5 appeared to me it was 2024, but I do agree it did	5 restricted limited, All You Can Play limited with a
6 say "2024" on the sheet. But being that it was	6 \$50 if you want to play in the morning, extra \$50
7 after January 1, my assumption was is that it was	7 if you want to play in the morning, or some value on
8 2024 rates.	8 the week. Though, I've talked to a lot of people
9 CHAIR TONKING: Questions on that?	9 that actually utilized the All You Can Play weekend
10 MEMBER SWENSON: I don't know if I should	10 p.m., and their experiences, they thought was going
11 do it here or just add it to the recommendations.	11 to be horrible, but they turned out to be better
12 I think one of the recommendations is to	12 because it's full in the morning, a lot slower, and
13 bring back the couple's pass, because I think that	13 they were playing in the afternoon and appeared to
14 actually is a moneymaker for us, if we price it	14 have quicker rounds. It wasn't as disastrous as
15 right. And so pulling that in here.	15 they originally thought.
16 I also think that the guest rates are a	16 But that's an idea of, again, making some
17 little high. I talked to a lot of people, and you	17 money out of that also. The couple's pass, which I
18 look at the year over year, we had a lot less guests	18 think should be one and a half times the normal All
19 last year. Rob, correct me if I'm wrong, but a lot	19 You Can Play limited, the guest fees, maybe reduce
20 less guests. And when I talked to members of our	20 it by ten percent or something so people could see
21 club and asked them, a lot of them said, well, it	21 that so we can draw back more of the guest pricing
22 was just that the rates just got too high and they	22 that we got, and then I'll write them up for you.
23 could play similar courses for less.	23 CHAIR TONKING: That would be great. And
24 So I would have thought that we might want	24 when you do write them up, can you write the
25 to consider, not a lot, but reducing the actual	25 specifics? The percentage, what percentage you
5 1 would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of	
1 would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of	1 groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> <li>limited by the amount of outside play you sell, is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> <li>past, it still seems like those numbers are always</li> <li>around 65 to 35, just kind of the magic number.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> <li>limited by the amount of outside play you sell, is</li> <li>it limited by demand, or is it limited by the supply</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> <li>past, it still seems like those numbers are always</li> <li>around 65 to 35, just kind of the magic number.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: So when people call in from</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> <li>limited by the amount of outside play you sell, is</li> <li>it limited by demand, or is it limited by the supply</li> <li>of tee times available to sell?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> <li>past, it still seems like those numbers are always</li> <li>around 65 to 35, just kind of the magic number.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: So when people call in from</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> <li>limited by the amount of outside play you sell, is</li> <li>it limited by demand, or is it limited by the supply</li> <li>of tee times available to sell?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>2 supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>3 supply of that?</li> <li>4 MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>5 of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>6 on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>7 residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>8 20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>9 those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>10 what it's always been.</li> <li>11 So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>12 me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>13 do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>14 we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>15 making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>16 the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>17 Even then when we did sell those in the</li> <li>18 past, it still seems like those numbers are always</li> <li>19 around 65 to 35, just kind of the magic number.</li> <li>20 MEMBER SIMON: So when people call in from</li> <li>21 the outside play, are you ever having to turn them</li> <li>22 away?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>would recommend lowering, then what amount on top of</li> <li>it. And it was \$50, I have that in my notes.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: Are you talking about \$50</li> <li>if somebody has All You Can Play to play on the</li> <li>weekend?</li> <li>MEMBER SWENSON: No. Play in the morning</li> <li>on Saturday. Play in the mornings because the All</li> <li>You Can Play is limited, has been a limited</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I don't know where the \$50</li> <li>came from. We talked about a cart fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have \$50 written down as</li> <li>the two and maybe it was the two \$25 cart fees.</li> <li>I can also speak to Darren too and confirm that.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I think it was \$25 cart</li> <li>fee.</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I'll confirm.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: I have a question for Rob</li> <li>about non-picture passes, outside play. It that</li> <li>limited by the amount of outside play you sell, is</li> <li>it limited by demand, or is it limited by the supply</li> <li>of tee times available to sell?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: As far as limited as far as</li> <li>how many non-residents can play?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>groups of guys or gals, whatever, is there a huge</li> <li>supply of that or are we already capturing the</li> <li>supply of that?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: I feel like we've gotten most</li> <li>of what we have, historically at least. If you look</li> <li>on the spreadsheet I showed, we were 68 to 32, 68</li> <li>residents to 32 non-residents. And if you look back</li> <li>20 years, I guarantee it's 65/35, really close to</li> <li>those numbers, for historically purposes, that's</li> <li>what it's always been.</li> <li>So if you were to look, let's say you want</li> <li>me to sell more tournaments, I don't know if I could</li> <li>do that without having to do the legwork with what</li> <li>we used to do, actually going out to the casinos,</li> <li>making those phone calls, getting those groups from</li> <li>the casinos, Reno, Carson, and south shore.</li> <li>Even then when we did sell those in the</li> <li>past, it still seems like those numbers are always</li> <li>around 65 to 35, just kind of the magic number.</li> <li>MEMBER SIMON: So when people call in from</li> <li>the outside play, are you ever having to turn them</li> <li>away?</li> <li>MR. BRUCE: Yes. But also with residents</li> </ol>

53 us a week out and say you want a tee time at ten always encroaches into the beginning of the golf 1 1 o'clock on a Saturday --2 season 2 3 3 MEMBER SIMON: I'm talking about who are That's something that we should probably 4 planning like a month or more in advance. 4 work with with Adam and Bobby to come up with some 5 MR. BRUCE: Sure. Now, if we had our tee 5 maybe better ways in the future to do our budgeting. sheet open right now, I could be making tee times 6 But that is the issue that comes with our budget 6 7 7 for non-residents who have been calling asking for cycle. tee times, but our tee sheet is not open until we 8 MEMBER WILSON: It sounds like what you 8 9 get the rate structure set. 9 just said, it could be separated out so that we 10 MEMBER SIMON: Is that a big problem? could target a January 1 recommendation, even though 10 11 MR. BRUCE: I believe that we should have 11 the budget, later discussion would still follow. 12 our rate structure set prior to now at least. I'm 12 CHAIR TONKING: Yes, we can work on 13 still saying January 1 would be great to have our 13 something like that. Bobby was unable to attend 14 this meeting, but I will relay that information on prices so once we hit the new year, we can start 14 15 making tee times. 15 to him as well for him and his team to come up with 16 the best way to address that budget decision. MEMBER SIMON: Because I personally feel 16 17 like we're holding you up. 17 MEMBER WILSON: It's hard to calculate 18 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, without having our rate 18 what percentage of utilization might be affected by 19 structure, it's hard to sell tournaments or even 19 that, but it seems like it has to be more than zero. sell green fees. And I can't open my tee sheet 20 CHAIR TONKING: I think you're probably 20 21 until I have those rate structures down. 21 right. It is definitely more zero. 22 22 CHAIR TONKING: That's the one problem I think also, I know last year it 23 with the way the budget cycle works. Our budget definitely deterred a lot of people as well. It is 23 24 something that is at least at the forefront of my 24 cycle is now because our budget is due at the end of 25 May, May 15th, for the next fiscal year, and so it 25 mind, and I think the Board is also aware of it as 55 CHAIR TONKING: I know. I can talk to the 1 well. 1 2 MEMBER SIMON: You're talking about the 2 Chair again. We have that meeting on the 27th that 3 was decided to be a town hall meeting, and they seem delay of announcing? 3 4 CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, the delay of our to -- maybe there's a way to push a special meeting 4 5 pricing because of the way that we do our budget 5 to get some of this stuff done, because I do think 6 process. 6 we're very close in the budget cycle. I will speak 7 MEMBER SIMON: Yeah, I think it irritated 7 to the Chair. 8 a lot of people and drove a lot of people away. 8 MEMBER SIMON: Do you think we should just 9 CHAIR TONKING: Yeah, I think that is 9 tell -- I mean, that would push Rob out another over 10 probably very accurate. 10 a month. If it were me, if it were my business and 11 if it were me, I would say sell to the outside 11 MEMBER SIMON: Let's be honest. I think 12 groups at the existing pricing for now. That's what 12 we ought to set the rates next week. 13 I would do. 13 CHAIR TONKING: That sounds like a great 14 MEMBER SWENSON: I was going to suggest 14 plan, and that was on my agenda. If we're able to 15 do that, I will be very impressed with all of us and 15 the same thing. When I look at the comparison data 16 verv thankful. that Rob provided before, we're a little high. 16 17 And in that, we will also give then --17 So maybe there's a board recommendation 18 because we will have a board meeting -- we're not 18 Michaela could take forward from us to say to help 19 going to have a board meeting until April 10th 19 him get his outside groups processed in -- unless 20 because there's a community forum instead of a board 20 you think, Rob, we're wrong on this -- that we price 21 meeting. But on that April 10th meeting, I would 21 the outside group tee times or groupings at the 22 like somebody to present the recommendations, and so 22 same, what they were last year. Again, I think they 23 we can have that as an agenda item. 23 were a little bit high, but, again, maybe Todd could 24 MEMBER SIMON: That's really dragging it 24 figure out the elasticity of it from year to year 25 out. 25 because I thought we had a little bit less outside

54

57	
1 individual play in the data, but certainly at the	1 the four percent of what we have this year, assuming
2 price, I felt, was kind of large last year.	2 it's going to be close to what we're going to have
3 But if people are willing to pay that, but	3 next year, so I at least have quoted a price to them
4 I think we're at the edge of a price elasticity	4 which I think is going to be very close to what
5 issue, and so if we maintain that for this year	5 we're going to be going to anyway.
6 MEMBER SIMON: I doubt we're going to	6 CHAIR TONKING: So then what I can do if
7 or the Board's going to approve a decrease in the	7 the same problem exists, we don't another board
8 price.	8 meeting until the 10th, we have one next week but
9 Rob, if the price today is 240 or whatever	9 the agenda's already been posted so I can't put this
10 it is, and it turns out to be 265, is that a problem	10 on the agenda, unfortunately. But I can speak to
11 for you and later on when they come to pay?	11 the Chair about the possibility of having a special
12 MATT: Committee, just a quick note. We	12 meeting because I think there's other stuff that
13 do have a hard cutoff in ten minutes. I know that's	13 needs to get addressed as well.
14 not ideal with overlap, but just put that on your	14 And so my hope is we can get this, at
15 radar.	15 least that proposal, on there for sure, but if we're
16 CHAIR TONKING: I was informed by GM Magee	16 able to have it the week of the 25th, the special
17 that I was allowed to run a little longer if need be	17 meeting, then I could possibly then have our whole
18 because this was scheduled first.	18 recommendations as a whole so we would get moving
19 MATT: Okay. That's not what I I'm not	19 forward.
20 going to argue that. All I know is that I have an	20 And I will talk to Bobby about some
21 Audit Committee scheduled for 4:25.	21 direction he can give Mr. Sands and Mr. Bruce about
22 CHAIR TONKING: Okay. We'll wrap up soon.	22 what they can do when quoting prices. I think
23 MR. BRUCE: Real quick, obviously I have	23 that's a very big mix.
24 to do something for the 36 groups that I've already	24 Is there anything else?
25 set up for the season. I pretty much just went with	25 Okay. That closes item E 1.
59	
1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR 59	1 because we're unique, we're unique, so have to do
	<ol> <li>because we're unique, we're unique, so have to do</li> <li>something a little different. Where the reality is</li> </ol>
1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR	
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> </ol>	2 something a little different. Where the reality is
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li><li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li></ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li><li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li><li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li></ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> <li>the years, we take general ledgers and we make a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> <li>19 under water and they're going to have to charge the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> <li>the years, we take general ledgers and we make a</li> <li>report that actually means something.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> <li>19 under water and they're going to have to charge the</li> <li>20 golf courses more money. Okay? At the end of the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> <li>the years, we take general ledgers and we make a</li> <li>report that actually means something.</li> <li>So I would highly suggest you know, I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> <li>19 under water and they're going to have to charge the</li> <li>20 golf courses more money. Okay? At the end of the</li> <li>21 day, you will lose a million dollars. Okay?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> <li>the years, we take general ledgers and we make a</li> <li>report that actually means something.</li> <li>So I would highly suggest you know, I</li> <li>know for a fact that truly in American Golf they</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> <li>19 under water and they're going to have to charge the</li> <li>20 golf courses more money. Okay? At the end of the</li> <li>21 day, you will lose a million dollars. Okay?</li> <li>22 And if we're thinking about if anybody</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>F. LONG RANGE CALENDAR</li> <li>CHAIR TONKING: I have notes for all the</li> <li>information I need for our meeting next week, so</li> <li>we'll get there and we'll be able to make</li> <li>recommendations. Again, any recommendations people</li> <li>have, please send them to Heidi with the wording</li> <li>that you're thinking, and we can have that all put</li> <li>together.</li> <li>Then that opens the last part.</li> <li>G. FINAL PUBLIC COMMENTS</li> <li>MR. DOBLER: Cliff Dobler.</li> <li>Welcome aboard, Timothy. If you need any</li> <li>help, I owned a couple of golf courses that were</li> <li>very successful.</li> <li>Jay, good idea. I guess Michaela and this</li> <li>other guy, Cripps, or whatever his name is, Adam,</li> <li>they probably never taken the general ledger and</li> <li>turned it into a report. Okay? We all do that over</li> <li>the years, we take general ledgers and we make a</li> <li>report that actually means something.</li> <li>So I would highly suggest you know, I</li> <li>know for a fact that truly in American Golf they</li> <li>manage about 1,500 golf courses, and their reports</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 something a little different. Where the reality is</li> <li>3 to make this such a scrambled up mess that nobody</li> <li>4 knows about and we'll talk about four percent</li> <li>5 increases in rates when you've missed the budget now</li> <li>6 by 800 grand and nobody will even look at the</li> <li>7 expenses because it's so scrambled up.</li> <li>8 I would highly recommend that maybe you</li> <li>9 work with Timothy if he has the financial data</li> <li>10 because this other guy, Adam, he's just a</li> <li>11 bookkeeper, and that's all he's got.</li> <li>12 Now lastly, I don't know if you guys</li> <li>13 realize that that 414 at the bottom, that doesn't</li> <li>14 include the central service cost allocations which</li> <li>15 are 450 grand. And central service cost allocations</li> <li>16 will be brought in when they do a journal entry,</li> <li>17 because they haven't done it yet, and it will be</li> <li>18 more because of the fact that the general ledger is</li> <li>19 under water and they're going to have to charge the</li> <li>20 golf courses more money. Okay? At the end of the</li> <li>21 day, you will lose a million dollars. Okay?</li> <li>22 And if we're thinking about if anybody</li> <li>23 thinks that our food and beverage operations remain</li> </ul>

1	got to get out of the business. It's gotta be	61	1	STATE OF NEVADA )	62
2	outsourced. We're not capable of doing food and		2	COUNTY OF WASHOE ) SS.	
3	beverage. We're also going to have losses at the		2	COUNTI OF WASHOE )	
4	facilities for the weddings and things, and to		4	I, BRANDI ANN VIANNEY SMITH, do hereby	
5	continue this charade just makes no sense.		5	certify:	
6	I appreciate you guys trying to hang in		6	That on March 7, 2024, I attended the Golf	
7	there and doing something, but you'll never get		7	Advisory Committee Public Meeting, and took	
8	anything out of staff that makes any sense that's a		, 8	stenotype notes of the proceedings entitled herein,	
9	guarantee.		9	and thereafter transcribed the same into typewriting	
10	Jay, if you can get with Timothy, get a				
11	report so we know what's going on, that would be		11	That the foregoing transcript is a full,	
				true, and correct transcription of my stenotype	
13	Thank you.			notes of said proceedings consisting of 62 pages,	
14	CHAIR TONKING: Any more public comment?			inclusive.	
15	MATT: There is not, Chair.		15	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 18th day of	
				March, 2024.	
17	CHAIR TONKING: This meeting adjourns at		17		
18	4:20 p.m. Thank you guys. We'll see you next week.		18	/s/ Brandi Ann Vianney Smith	
19	(Meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m.)		19	/ 5/ Brandr Ann Vranney Surth	
20			20	BRANDI ANN VIANNEY SMITH	
20			20	DIVERSI ANNA VIANARI SHITII	
22			22		
23			23		
24			24		
25			25		
20			20		

# INVOICE

## BAVS SM-LLC

brandiavsmith@gmail.com United States

BILL TO Incline Village General Improvement District Susan Herron / Heidi White	Invoice Number:	IVGID 28
	Invoice Date:	March 18, 2024
Susan Herron / Heidi White	Payment Due:	April 7, 2024
775-832-1218 AP@ivgid.org	Amount Due (USD):	\$722.00

Items	Quantity	Price	Amount
Base fee March 7, 2024 GAC meeting	1	\$350.00	\$350.00
<b>Per page fee</b> March 7, 2024 GAC meeting	62	\$6.00	\$372.00
		Subtotal:	\$722.00
		Total:	\$722.00
		Amount Due (USD):	\$722.00

TO: GOLF ADVISORY COMMITTEE

THROUGH: Michaela Tonking, Board of Trustees

- **FROM:** Michaela Tonking, Board of Trustees
- **SUBJECT:** Review the Recommendations from the Previous Meetings and Make any Changes. Create Additional Recommendation for the Mountain Course all you can Play and Address any Pros and Cons to be Presented to the Board.

### **DATE:** March 28, 2024

### I. RECOMMENDATION

For the Golf Advisory Committee to Review the Previous Meeting Recommendations and Make any Changes. Create Any Additional Recommendation for the Mountain Course all you can Play and Address any Pros and Cons to be Presented to the Board.

### II. BACKGROUND

### III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

Golf Advisory Committee Recommendation Items for Discussion (Attached)

### IV. ATTACHMENTS

1. Discussion Item GAC recommendations

## IVGID Golf Advisory Committee

## Recommendations to the IVGID Board of Trustees

- 1. A recommended Goal of 80% Utilization Rate on the Championship Course and 65% Utilization Rate on the Mountain Course with a suggestion to staff to utilize Marketing efforts, and a dynamic "Pricing Model" to help achieve this goal.
  - The Golf Advisory Committee would request for Staff to return at mid-season for an update on how successful the goal has been and what challenges exist in achieving it.
- 2. A Recommendation to consider reintroducing the Couples Pass at both District Courses at a Rate of 165% relative to the overall cost of single version of the same pass.
- 3. A recommendation to bring back the all you can Play Pass at a fair economic value (roughly around \$4,200 looking at about 75.00 for 55 rounds) at the Championship Course. **NEED TO MAKE RECOMMENDATION FOR MOUNTAIN COURSE AT 3/28 MTG. Also discuss the pro and cons.**
- 4. A recommendation to eliminate the 30 play and 40 play passes
- 5. A recommendation that have staff evaluate rate increases during the budget process Mr. Sands do you have an update on this