

Herron, Susan

From: Darryl Dworkin <darrylInvi@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 10:47 AM
To: Info_at_IVGID
Subject: RE Parasol; Again: For the Board of Trustees

Dear IVGID Board:

Please add to my prior writings:

In the recent FlashVote sponsored by Trustee Dent was stated that **"On May 24, 2017 the IVGID Board of Trustees voted to transfer money from the operating fund to the capital project fund for the \$1.6 million down payment."**

I have looked just today at the information that I can find in open records and in minutes. I looked specifically at a document in Open Records that starts "This report contains the 2017-2018 Fiscal Year Adopted Budget Approved on May 24, 2017".

While not an accountant or auditor, and that making it possible I just did not see, there seemed no mention of such a transfer for any PURCHASE or figure and there is nothing I saw showing in any documentation leading to a \$5.5 million PURCHASE of anything. I'm also not a lawyer but I know, as a businessman of 40+ years and a Mechanical Engineer with 5 patents, that a "Lease Modification" does NOT require a Bill of Sale as discussed at the last Board meeting I attended whose cost was postponed until this upcoming meeting.

I've said before that there seems a distinct odor about the Parasol "Purchase hidden as a Lease Modification" and to learn that \$1.6 MILLION dollars left general funds without public discussion or even mention at the open meetings on Parasol before or after May 24th that would or should become a down payment on a \$5.5 million purchase is simply outrageous. In fact, my quick review stated only a \$400k transfer and that \$1.6 million would be available for the lease modification if it occurred.

Because of the above recent discussion makes it appear as if the Board has made up it's collective mind regardless of what residents or financial accountability consider acceptable.

Let's quickly look at the above on "residents" and "financial accountability":

Regarding Resident opinions; per the FlashVote results, over 2/3rds of respondents were negative to spending \$5.5 million while less than 10% were positive. **OVER 6 to 1 against!**

Regarding Financial Accountability; do I really have to tell you how irresponsible, how contrary to the reason the Board exists, how against any reasonable business decision this consideration actually is? WHY would the Board "purchase" what they already own?

If I must then the writings to date and discussion with GM Pinkerton continue to state that the Parasol Foundation had funds invested in the building yet the bust in the lobby states it was the gift of the Reynolds Foundation. I believe my lying eyes (to paraphrase an old joke); NOT the words I'm hearing. I believe that The Parasol Foundation has mismanaged their assets to the extent that they are looking for a bail-out from IVGID and for some unknown reason the IVGID Board is willing to GIFT THEM \$5.5 million of RESIDENT

MONEY instead of simply relieving them of their requirement to maintain the building and pay the utilities for that building.... a building on our land that has zero, repeat, ZERO commercial, thus ZERO FINANCIAL, value due to deed restrictions precluding commercial usage. To base any decision on any appraisal is improper as there is absolutely no comparable possible!

I believe the Board will be putting themselves in serious jeopardy should this matter continue to completion. I believe that the Board should cease further consideration and further expenditure of anything beyond a true "lease modification" taking over management and responsibility of the property while allowing Parasol and their current tenants to remain under the \$1.00/year agreement without added compensation to the Parasol Foundation. This true "lease modification" would allow IVGID to use the currently unoccupied portions of the building which, I believe, exceed the requirements of replacing the current Administrative Building. This would allow a sale of that property, for commercial development, and actual income that would support the maintenance of the oversized Parasol building.

This true "lease modification" is exactly what Mr. Pinkerton stated to the Rotary Club in the Parasol building as to how IVGID would act after the dust settled (he was directly asked if the non-profits in the building could remain). If that part is true then it follows that IVGID would occupy the empty space of the building and pay the building maintenance and utilities.

The current lease has NO sublet clause. Parasol cannot lease to anything other than a non-profit and that pool is shrinking. Parasol cannot sublet. Parasol either pays ongoing to maintain the building with a true lease to another non-profit, IVGID, or accepts a true lease modification to relieve them of the cost of building maintenance and management while remaining, with current tenants, for and under the existing lease terms including a rental of \$1.00/year.

IVGID using the existing Parasol open space under a lease or lease modification allows the sale of the existing Administrative Building which will generate funds sufficient to successfully move into the Parasol, or other if needed, building and support a new lease or the Parasol building for many years without any added expense to IVGID and the residents. THAT makes financial sense.

To do anything else is completely incorrect.

Respectfully,
Darryl R. Dworkin
705 Birdie Way

Sent from Darryl's Surface

Herron, Susan

From: Darryl Dworkin <darrylInvi@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 7:54 AM
To: Info_at_IVGID
Subject: CORRECTION: Parasol evaluation discussion - For the Board of Trustees

Below, written very early, has Mr. Pinkerton incorrectly spelled. Please allow this correction with my apology.
Darryl

Sent from Darryl's Surface

From: Darryl Dworkin <darrylInvi@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2017 8:00 AM
To: info@ivgid.org
Subject: Parasol evaluation discussion - For the Board of Trustees

Darryl Dworkin

705 Birdie Way

Incline Village, NV 89451-8804

August 1, 2017

IVGID Board of Trustees

Via e-mail

Dear Board Members,

As I wrote in my prior correspondence; the Board is to represent the residents. Now I must add:
How is it in the best interests of the residents to pay \$5.5 Million to buy out a land-lease of OUR LAND!

In a recent discussion with Mr. Pinkerton he stated (basically) that municipalities lease when it is not a permanent or very long term committment. That in mind; let me ask a simple question:
On the Land-Lease between IVGID and Parasol Foundation, is there a sublet clause?

If there is no sublet clause in the \$1.00/year lease between Parasol Foundation and IVGID; doesn't that mean our assuming responsibility of the building is permanent regardless of any lease? EXACTLY WHAT ARE WE PURCHASING FOR \$5.5 MILLION DOLLARS? WHY?

IVGID is a non-profit. Parasol is a non-profit. Our land and this lease is deed restricted but more importantly, it is already our land. I'm sorry that Parasol Foundation has a lease of a building on our land and is not getting a return on their investment that they would like.

Parasol Foundation lost tenants resultant of both circumstance and poor decisions. Parasol isn't getting the return they would like. I, and each of us, have been impacted by circumstance and poor decisions. Has anybody ever volunteered to step in to make you whole? Certainly not in my case.

The answer is not our bailing out Parasol by providing \$5.5 Million. The answer is to relieve Parasol of the added overhead of maintaining the facility while allowing the continued operation of Parasol and the other non-profits currently within the building if they choose to remain.

ALLOW PARASOL A TRUE LEASE MODIFICATION WHEREIN THEY CAN CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS THEY ARE TODAY FOR THE DURATION OF THE LEASE, if they choose, BUT ARE RELEASED OF ALL BUILDING EXPENSES IN EXCHANGE FOR OUR TAKING OVER FULL MANAGEMENT AND EXPENSES OF THE BUILDING; allowing our usage of all space within the building that Parasol Foundation and other non-profits are not currently using.

Parasol Foundation becomes a tenant in fact with usage of the space they currently use and tenants they currently serve. **PERIOD.**

IVGID STARTS WITH "INCLINE VILLAGE". **THE BOARD MUST DO WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF INCLINE VILLAGE AND PUT THE BEST INTERESTS OF PARASOL FOUNDATION SECOND TO OURS.** All else is rhetoric.

Respectfully submitted,
Darryl R. Dworkin

Sent from Darryl's Surface

Herron, Susan

From: Kendra Wong <kwong.ivgid@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 11:07 PM
To: Herron, Susan
Subject: Fwd: Fw: Our Beaches: Is anybody else getting frustrated?

Correspondence received

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **MaryAnn Dresner** <maryannbresner@att.net>
Date: Mon, Jul 31, 2017 at 4:58 PM
Subject: Fw: Our Beaches: Is anybody else getting frustrated?
To: Matthew Dent <dent_trustee@ivgid.org>, Phil Horan <horan_trustee@ivgid.org>, Kendra Wong <kwong.ivgid@gmail.com>, Tim Callicrate <tim2tahoe@msn.com>

to: Trustees of Incline Village:

Please see the letters above and below.... It is not fair to the property owners , who pay for the maintenance of the beaches , to allow any other property owner to have unlimited " Pay \$12 or !\$16 and get into our beaches" cards... There must be limit on the amount of beach access allowed to each homeowner,,, pick a number, but how about saying that no one can get into the beaches without the homeowner being present... The vacation renters can go to Sand Harbor.....

thank you,
Maryann Dresner

On Sunday, July 30, 2017 2:47 PM, Toni Neubauer <toni@mythsandmountains.com> wrote:

Dear Pam,

Your letter is right on the mark. Without doubt, this summer has been a disaster for locals., whether on the beach or simply trying to drive on roads that have cars, RVs and boat trailers lining the sides, driving over the speed limits or coming out of driveways and side roads as though they have right of way. Combine the hordes of tourists with the road construction, and Incline has not been pleasant.

IVGID definitely needs to rethink the beach pass situation, as well as beach rules and behavior. You have people arriving early in the AM and setting up huge tents. Then they return to their rentals for a leisurely breakfast, assuming they have reserved their territory on the beach. Fights have broken out because of this sort of activity and the place is a mess. Lifeguards and beach patrols need to be set up to enforce protocols and manage behavior.

Furthermore, adequate signage needs to be put up clearly at beach entrances and in the materials at the rec center and information center, stating that beaches are private and not open to anyone without a pass. All too often, someone driving through stops, carries all of their stuff to the entrance, is told the beach is private, and then gets angry at the rec center employee in the booth.

There need to be limits on passes and limits on the number of people in rentals and certainly on the passes for the renters. One rental, for example, in Village Highlands had 22 people staying there for a week, complete with tons of cars.

Anyway, those are a few thoughts...

Toni

Dr. Antonia Neubauer, President

Myths and Mountains, Inc.

2017 Wendy Perrin WOW List - Trusted Travel Expert for Nepal, Bhutan & Myanmar

2017 "Condé Nast Magazine" Nepal & Bhutan Top Travel Specialist

2015 Tourism Cares Legacy in Travel Philanthropy Award

2014 London Observer Ethical Award - Travel

2013 International Institute For Peace Through Tourism - Ambassador For Peace Award

976 Tee Ct. Incline Village, NV 89451

Ph: 800-670-6984, 775-832-5454

Fax: 775-832-4454

Website: www.mythsandmountains.com

Email: toni@mythsandmountains.com



AMERICAN SOCIETY
OF TRAVEL AGENTS
PROUD MEMBER SINCE 1991

On Jul 30, 2017, at 2:14 PM, Pamela Miller <tahoepamela@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Letter to the Editor

Tahoe Bonanza

IVGID HAS SOLD US OUT

Please hear the call of the full time Incline Village property owners who pay the fees to access the private beaches. Many years ago, most of us have reluctantly accepted the crush of tourists during the summer, and begrudgingly have given up our access to our private beaches on the weekends, while reassuring ourselves with the understanding that at least we could use our beaches Monday through Friday. Now, even that has become an impossibility. I have been here all summer and have witnessed the punitive long lines and "full parking" signs by 10 AM on Tuesdays. Our beaches have reached critical mass. I have questioned the beach hosts as to their impressions of the

breakdown of the tourists vs the locals coming through the gates. The beach hosts have told me that 75% of the people are visitors. When you look up VRBO and Airbnb sites on the Internet, both sites independently have 300 plus listings in Incline Village. This translates into over 600 invisible hotels in Incline Village, and all the guests that stay at these invisible hotels are all accessing our beaches. Now this works to a double advantage: it is a stellar marketing ploy for the owners of the invisible hotels, as well as a lucrative source of revenue for IVGID collecting the daily fees at the gate. The party getting screwed is the trampled upon property owners that are paying the freight. We pay for the private beaches that we are effectively shut out of because of the hordes of humanity. Every year we complain, and every year IVGID pretends that they will address the situation, while looking the other way. Let's quit pretending that we have private beaches, because they have been overrun this summer with the unwashed masses. It would be nice if IVGID treated the people that pay their employees and the local taxes as the priority public, instead of as the down trodden sucker and bimbo.

Pamela Miller

◆◆◆0◆◆.64

 Pamela Miller

--
Kendra Wong
Incline Village General Improvement District
Chairwoman

Herron, Susan

From: Kendra Wong <kwong.ivgid@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2017 11:00 PM
To: Herron, Susan
Subject: Fwd: IVGID Beaches

Correspondence received

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Pamela Miller** <tahoepamela@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Jul 30, 2017 at 1:53 PM

Subject: IVGID Beaches

To: wong_trustee@ivgid.org, dent_tustee@ivgid.org, horan_trustee@ivgid.org, callicrate_trustee@ivgid.org, morris_trustee@ivgid.org, pinkerton@ivgid.org

Dear Letter to the Editor

Tahoe Bonanza

IVGID HAS SOLD US OUT

Please hear the call of the full time Incline Village property owners who pay the fees to access the private beaches. Many years ago, most of us have reluctantly accepted the crush of tourists during the summer, and begrudgingly have given up our access to our private beaches on the weekends, while reassuring ourselves with the understanding that at least we could use our beaches Monday through Friday. Now, even that has become an impossibility. I have been here all summer and have witnessed the punitive long lines and "full parking" signs by 10 AM on Tuesdays. Our beaches have reached critical mass. I have questioned the beach hosts as to their impressions of the breakdown of the tourists vs the locals coming through the gates. The beach hosts have told me that 75% of the people are visitors. When you look up VRBO and Airbnb sites on the Internet, both sites independently have 300 plus listings in Incline Village. This translates into over 600 invisible hotels in

Incline Village, and all the guests that stay at these invisible hotels are all accessing our beaches. Now this works to a double advantage: it is a stellar marketing ploy for the owners of the invisible hotels, as well as a lucrative source of revenue for IVGID collecting the daily fees at the gate. The party getting screwed is the trampled upon property owners that are paying the freight. We pay for the private beaches that we are effectively shut out of because of the hordes of humanity. Every year we complain, and every year IVGID pretends that they will address the situation, while looking the other way. Let's quit pretending that we have private beaches, because they have been overrun this summer with the unwashed masses. It would be nice if IVGID treated the people that pay their employees and the local taxes as the priority public, instead of as the down trodden sucker and bimbo.

Pamela Miller

tahoepamela@gmail.com

--
Kendra Wong
Incline Village General Improvement District
Chairwoman

Herron, Susan

From: Philip J Horan <pjhoran@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 30, 2017 4:08 PM
To: Pinkerton, Steve J.; Eick, Gerald W.; Herron, Susan
Subject: Fw: Our Beaches: Is anybody else getting frustrated?

FYI

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Sun, Jul 30, 2017 at 3:21 PM, Jane Barnhart <tahoejaneb@gmail.com> wrote:

This is a Really good article, Pam!!! I will sign anything saying i agree! I almost came to the beach last Friday but i kayaked and was so exhausted. I still wanted to come join you all at the beach dinner and music but i just couldn't stand the thought of going down there and the hassle of no parking!! So it does affect us! I have just been thinking almost every day this town is getting to be no fun , between road work and tourists.. and that it is a haven for everyone else to enjoy it but us who pay for it!!! . Excellent analysis**WE REALLY DO NEED TO DO SOMETHING.** and outlawing Air B and B would be a start. In fact, maybe the whole solution. **OMG I just thought about something!!!** You know, when I had the listing for North Dyer, it was the **ONLY B and B** and we sold the TAU's to Crystal Bay separately and there were no more B and B's that were supposed to be here...These other people don't have TAU's. Tourist Accommodation Units. So all this renting as a B and B is illegal and the people running the town probably don't even know it!!! Most relators didn't know anything about it at the time either! So it was really hard to come up with a monetary value of those units! This might be how we stop it!!!! It may be illegal according to the original charter or rules??? So I am sending this information to some of the IVGID Board members whose e-mails I have and maybe they can come up with something! **IT TAKES A VILLAGE!** Jane Barnhart

On Sun, Jul 30, 2017 at 2:14 PM, Pamela Miller <tahoepamela@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Letter to the Editor

Tahoe Bonanza

IVGID HAS SOLD US OUT

Please hear the call of the full time Incline Village property owners who pay the fees to access the private beaches. Many years ago, most of us have reluctantly accepted the crush of tourists during

the summer, and begrudgingly have given up our access to our private beaches on the weekends, while reassuring ourselves with the understanding that at least we could use our beaches Monday through Friday. Now, even that has become an impossibility. I have been here all summer and have witnessed the punitive long lines and "full parking" signs by 10 AM on Tuesdays. Our beaches have reached critical mass. I have questioned the beach hosts as to their impressions of the breakdown of the tourists vs the locals coming through the gates. The beach hosts have told me that 75% of the people are visitors. When you look up VRBO and Airbnb sites on the Internet, both sites independently have 300 plus listings in Incline Village. This translates into over 600 invisible hotels in Incline Village, and all the guests that stay at these invisible hotels are all accessing our beaches. Now this works to a double advantage: it is a stellar marketing ploy for the owners of the invisible hotels, as well as a lucrative source of revenue for IVGID collecting the daily fees at the gate. The party getting screwed is the trampled upon property owners that are paying the freight. We pay for the private beaches that we are effectively shut out of because of the hordes of humanity. Every year we complain, and every year IVGID pretends that they will address the situation, while looking the other way. Let's quit pretending that we have private beaches, because they have been overrun this summer with the unwashed masses. It would be nice if IVGID treated the people that pay their employees and the local taxes as the priority public, instead of as the down trodden sucker and bimbo.

Pamela Miller

◆◆◆0◆◆.64

 Pamela Miller

--
Jane Barnhart
TahoeJaneB@gmail.com
775-657-0113 cell

P.O. Box 5560, Incline Village, NV 89450

Herron, Susan

From: Philip Horan <pjhoran1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 1:40 PM
To: Pinkerton, Steve J.; Herron, Susan
Subject: Fwd: Parasol Proposal

Fyi

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Karen Vick" <karen-vick@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Jul 27, 2017 1:36 PM

Subject: Parasol Proposal

To: "wong_trustee@ivgid.org" <wong_trustee@ivgid.org>, "horan_trustee@ivgid.org" <horan_trustee@ivgid.org>, "morris_trustee@ivgid.org" <morris_trustee@ivgid.org>, "callicrate_trustee@ivgid.org" <callicrate_trustee@ivgid.org>, "dent_trustee@ivgid.org" <dent_trustee@ivgid.org>

Cc:

Dear IVGID Board,

I am totally against the Parasol property proposal. It is just too costly and not in the best interest of our community.

As of yet, I have not spoken to one person that is in favor of this proposal.

Please do not support this buy out!!!

Thank YOU,
Karen Vick

Herron, Susan

From: s4s@ix.netcom.com
Sent: Saturday, July 15, 2017 9:38 AM
To: Herron, Susan
Cc: Kendra Wong; Tim Callicrate; Horan, Phil; Matthew Dent; Peter Morris; Devon Reese
Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded against Parasol property - Follow Up

So we're clear Susan, are you telling me that IVGID doesn't have an accounting of all old records disposed of indicating the nature or identity of the records as well as the date of submission to the Division as NRS 239.123(2) addresses? Because if you're not, I have asked to examine that accounting.

Thank you for your cooperation in clarifying your response.

Aaron Katz

-----Original Message-----

>From: "Herron, Susan" <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>Sent: Jul 14, 2017 1:40 PM
>To: "s4s@ix.netcom.com" <s4s@ix.netcom.com>
>Cc: Kendra Wong <Kwong.ivgid@gmail.com>, Tim Callicrate
><tim_callicrate2@ivgid.org>, "Horan, Phil" <Horan_Trustee@ivgid.org>,
>Matthew Dent <dent_trustee@ivgid.org>, Peter Morris
><Peter_Morris@ivgid.org>, 'Jason Guinasso' <JGuinasso@rkglawyers.com>
>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded
>against Parasol property - Follow Up

>
>There are no records responsive to your request.

>
>Susan A. Herron, CMC
>Executive Assistant/District Clerk/Public Records Officer Incline
>Village General Improvement District
>893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, NV 89451
>P: 775-832-1207
>F: 775-832-1122
>M: 775-846-6158
>sah@ivgid.org
>http://ivgid.org

>
>
>
>-----Original Message-----

>From: s4s@ix.netcom.com [mailto:s4s@ix.netcom.com]
>Sent: Friday, July 14, 2017 9:14 AM
>To: Herron, Susan <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>Cc: Devon Reese <dreeser@rkglawyers.com>; Kendra Wong
><Kwong.ivgid@gmail.com>; Tim Callicrate <tim_callicrate2@ivgid.org>;
>Horan, Phil <Horan_Trustee@ivgid.org>; Matthew Dent
><dent_trustee@ivgid.org>; Peter Morris <Peter_Morris@ivgid.org>

>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded
>against Parasol property - Follow Up
>
>Wait a minute.
>
>You don't have the purchase/sales agreement between IVGID/the County School District for the 1979 sale of the now Incline Middle School property?
>
>And you don't have the purchase/sales agreement between IVGID/Crystal Bay Development Co. for the 1968 purchase of the beaches?
>
>I find this incredulous.
>
>Let's take the school district agreement. NRS 239.0107(1)(b) states that "if the governmental entity does not have legal custody or control of the public book or record, (it must) provide to the person, in writing: (1) Notice of that fact (which you have); and (2) The name and address of the governmental entity that has legal custody or control of the public book or record, if known."
>
>Have you checked with the school district if they have a copy of the agreement? If not, shouldn't you so you can provide the name, address, and responsible person I can contact to secure a copy of this public record?
>
>And regardless, shouldn't you be doing this yourself so that in the future, should there be further requests to examine the records, they will be available?
>
>Or getting back to a prior records request I have made, did IVGID have this purchase/sales agreement at one time and then destroy it? Because if that were the case, NRS 239.123(2) requires maintenance of an accounting of all old records disposed of indicating the nature or identity of the records as well as the date of submission to the Division. And if that be the case, I again ask to examine that accounting.
>
>I am sending a copy of this e-mail string to the Board so it can see the important public records that staff tells the public do not exist. I ask there be an agenda item at the next Board meet which inquires into the full extent of important public records which purportedly no longer exist, and what can be done by staff to resurrect and retain them. .
>
>And I ask a copy of this e-mail string be included in the next Board meeting packet so the public can see what records are and are not available for inspection.
>
>Thank you for your cooperation. Aaron Katz
>
>-----Original Message-----
>>From: "Herron, Susan" <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>>Sent: Jul 14, 2017 8:38 AM
>>To: "'s4s@ix.netcom.com'" <s4s@ix.netcom.com>
>>Cc: 'Jason Guinasso' <JGuinasso@rkglawyers.com>
>>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded
>>against Parasol property
>>
>>Aaron,
>>
>>I do not have this document therefore I have nothing to provide to you.
>>
>>Susan A. Herron, CMC
>>Executive Assistant/District Clerk/Public Records Officer Incline

>>Village General Improvement District
>>893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, NV 89451
>>P: 775-832-1207
>>F: 775-832-1122
>>M: 775-846-6158
>>sah@ivgid.org
>>http://ivgid.org
>>

>>-----Original Message-----

>>From: s4s@ix.netcom.com [mailto:s4s@ix.netcom.com]
>>Sent: Friday, July 14, 2017 12:15 AM
>>To: Herron, Susan <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>>Cc: Devon Reese <dreese@rkglawyers.com>
>>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded
>>against Parasol property

>>

>>Let's try the purchase sales agreement between IVGID and the school district for the subject middle school property. I would like to examine a copy.

>>

>>Thank you for your cooperation. Aaron

>>

>>-----Original Message-----

>>>From: "Herron, Susan" <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>>>Sent: Jul 13, 2017 3:06 PM
>>>To: "'s4s@ix.netcom.com'" <s4s@ix.netcom.com>
>>>Cc: 'Jason Guinasso' <JGuinasso@rkglawyers.com>
>>>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded
>>>against Parasol property

>>>

>>>Aaron,

>>>

>>>I believe what Parasol is referring to is that there was a sale of 6 acres of this parcel to Washoe County School District and the IVGID Board of Trustees opened escrow, via Resolution 1369 dated March 27, 1979, which required Washoe County to work out the problems over the deed restrictions. I have attached Resolution 1369 as the response to your records request.

>>>

>>>Susan A. Herron, CMC
>>>Executive Assistant/District Clerk/Public Records Officer Incline
>>>Village General Improvement District
>>>893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, NV 89451
>>>P: 775-832-1207
>>>F: 775-832-1122
>>>M: 775-846-6158
>>>sah@ivgid.org
>>>http://ivgid.org

>>>

>>>-----Original Message-----

>>>From: s4s@ix.netcom.com [mailto:s4s@ix.netcom.com]
>>>Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2017 2:31 PM
>>>To: Herron, Susan <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>
>>>Cc: 'Jason Guinasso' <JGuinasso@rkglawyers.com>
>>>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded

>>>against Parasol property

>>>

>>>Thank you Susan -

>>>

>>>This info appeared somewhere in the board packet materials provided by Parasol. I can't remember where.

>>>

>>>But regardless, I have attached a copy of Parasol's 2015 audited financial statement - something I am certain Jason is intimately familiar with. I refer you to note 11. There you will see that Parasol confirms the CC&Rs have been amended twice, however, the relevant amendment according to Parasol, is the latter one. But if only the second amended CC&R is the relevant one to Parasol, I find it odd Parasol would know more about the first amendment than IVGID. Who did the first modification benefit?

>>>

>>>So please provide a copy of the first modified CC&Rs as I requested.

>>>

>>>Thank you for your cooperation. Aaron Katz

>>>

>>>-----Original Message-----

>>>>From: "Herron, Susan" <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>

>>>>Sent: Jul 13, 2017 2:05 PM

>>>>To: "'s4s@ix.netcom.com'" <s4s@ix.netcom.com>

>>>>Cc: 'Jason Guinasso' <JGuinasso@rkglawyers.com>

>>>>Subject: RE: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded

>>>>against Parasol property

>>>>

>>>>Aaron,

>>>>

>>>>Can you kindly tell me who from Parasol provided this information as I only am aware of one modification.

>>>>

>>>>Susan A. Herron, CMC

>>>>Executive Assistant/District Clerk/Public Records Officer Incline

>>>>Village General Improvement District

>>>>893 Southwood Boulevard, Incline Village, NV 89451

>>>>P: 775-832-1207

>>>>F: 775-832-1122

>>>>M: 775-846-6158

>>>>sah@ivgid.org

>>>>http://ivgid.org

>>>>

>>>>-----Original Message-----

>>>>From: s4s@ix.netcom.com [mailto:s4s@ix.netcom.com]

>>>>Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 11:25 PM

>>>>To: Herron, Susan <Susan_Herron@ivgid.org>

>>>>Cc: Devon Reese <dreesee@rkglawyers.com>

>>>>Subject: Records Request - First Modification to CC&Rs recorded

>>>>against Parasol property

>>>>

>>>>Hello Susan -

>>>>

>>>>Another records request.

>>>>

>>>>Parasol has revealed that there were TWO modifications to the CC&Rs recorded against the property the subject of their leasehold. Notwithstanding, only the last 1999 one has been provided in the various Board packets on this subject.

>>>>

>>>>I would like to examine the first modification.

>>>>

>>>>Thank you for your cooperation.

>>>>

>>>>Aaron Katz